

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2021.v21.no2.089

STUDY OF HETEROSIS AND COMBINING ABILITY FOR YIELD AND ITS COMPONENT TRAITS IN BARLEY (HORDEUM VULGARE L.)

Akashdeep. Kamboj, Madakemohekar, A.H., Gaurav Thakur and Sumant Bindal

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,

School of Agriculture, Lovely professional University, Phagwara- 144411(Punjab), India *Correspondent author e-mail: anant.madke@gmail.com

(Date of Receiving : 14-12-2020; Date of Acceptance : 04-08-2021)

ABSTRACT
 Combining ability and heterosis were determined in a population obtained from crosses between 3 tester and 7 lines and grown during 2018-19. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed the presence of significant variance due to general combining ability (GCA) as well as for specific combining ability (SCA) among the parents for all the traits. Among Testers, only a single tester *i.e.* BH 902 was observed to be best general combiner for number of effective tillers, spike length,1000 grain weight, harvest index and grain yield per plant. K745 found to be good combiner for awn length, where as K603 found to be good combiner for number of effective tillers. SCA effects of crosses showed significant results for many characters. Crosses, KR 521 x K 603, Azad x K745, HUB 113 x BH 902, RD 2508 x K 603 were recorded as outstanding specific combiners for grain yield per plant. For grain yield, the maximum per cent heterosis over standard check were observed in HUB 113 x BH 902, Azad x K745, Azad x BH902, Dolma 6 x K745, RD 2508 x K745 revealed greatest value of positive significant heterosis over standard check, while the crosses Azad x K 603 followed by Dolma 6 x K745, RD 2508 x K745, Azad x BH 902 and Azad x K745 showed positive and significant heterosis over better parent.

Keywords : Barley, heterosis, diversity, combining ability, grain yield.

INTRODUCTION

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is one of the world's most ancient food crops. It has been an important cereal crop since the early stages of agricultural innovations 8,000-10,000 years ago (Giles and von Bothmer, 1985). It is an economically important cereal crop, ranking fourth after wheat, rice and maize in the world, both in terms of quantity produced and in area of cultivation (FAO, 2014). Barley originates from the Eastern Mediterranean region where plants experience many abiotic stresses in the field. It is grown in many areas where climatic conditions are unfavorable. Though its commercial value is less than that of wheat but it replaces the later in the dry regions in areas of too low and erratic rainfall, because of low input requirement and better adaptation, it survives easily under rainfed condition and known as poor men's crop (Verma et al., 2010). World production of barley is 292.9 million tonnes with highest production from Europe region (59.6%) followed by Asian region (14.9%). Russian federation is the highest producing country which produces near about 20.02 million tonnes production, while Indian has thirteenth ranks (USDA, 2015).

It is an important winter cereal crop grown in the northern plains of India comprising the states of Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Haryana, Rajasthan, Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand that makes about 80% of total acreage of India. Rajasthan has a lion's share accounting for 40% of the total production. However, India's share in global production is only 1.0% which is significantly very low. In India barley occupies nearly 0.67 million hectare and producing around 1.75 million tones grains with a productivity of 25.8 q/ha.

There is a need for the development of new barley cultivars that tolerate abiotic and biotic stresses for the improvement of crop productivity (Ellis et al. 2000). The rate of progress, however, will depend on the occurrence of desirable genetic variation and the availability of precise methods of identification, selection and transfer of superior genes (Ellis et al. 2000). Drought is a major environmental stress reducing crop yield around the world (Bruce et al., 2002). The combined effects of drought and high temperature on the physiology, growth, water relations, and yield are significantly higher than the individual effects (Grigorova et al., 2011). Yet, compared to other cereals, barley is well adapted due to better water-use efficiency and mechanisms of drought escape, avoidance and tolerance. Breeding for drought resistance based on putative traits (traits associated with drought resistance, but easier to select for than grain yield) has been very popular, but the progress is still slow. In several studies, it has been shown that the developmental genes are key factors in the determination of yield potential under drought condition (Baum et al., 2003; Forster et al., 2004).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Genetic material of present investigation comprised of 25 genetically diverse genotypes of barley, among them 7 testers and 3 lines (Table 1) were selected for making crosses using L x T design. After doing crossing 21 F₁s crosses were generated, using testers as female and lines as male parents during Rabi 2017-18. During Rabi season 2018-19, all 18 F₁s crosses were grown with their 9 parents by using compact family randomized block design with three replications at Agricultural Research Farm of School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University. All material were sown in two rows each of 5 m length having a spacing of 25 cm between rows to row and 10 cm between plant to plant following single seed per hill to keep the plant population at optimum level. Data has been recorded by randomly selecting ten competitive plants from each of the parents and F1s'from each replication and tagged for recording of data on following quantitative traits, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, numbers to tillers per plant, plant height, numbers of grains per spike, spike length, awn length, 1000 grain weight, harvest index and grain yield per plant. The mean data of selected ten plants were used for statistical analysis. The Statistical analysis/ Biometric analysis were done on the basis of these statistical tools, Analysis of Variance for line x tester analysis was carried out by according to Kempthorne (1957), GCA and SCA variances (for each cross separately) have been be estimate as per method given by Kempthorne (1957). Heterosis have been calculated over better parent (heterobeltiosis) as well as standard check (economic heterosis) for each cross and test of significance was tested by T test.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

ANOVA for treatment variations were highly significant for all the crosses, also little significant difference notify in replication in case of days to maturity and grain yield per plant. Variations due to treatment were partitioned into various components, such as parents, cross (F1's) and parent vs. crosses. The mean squares due to parents vs. crosses showed significant differences for all the characters studied except 1000 grain weight (Table 2). The mean squares due to testers were highly significant for most of the characters studied except, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, spike length, number of grains per spike. Mean square due to lines was highly significant for all the traits except days to 50% flowering and days to maturity. The line \times tester interaction was highly significant for almost all the traits understudy.

General combining ability effects for most of the lines and testers were found significant for most of the characters including grain yield per plant. It might be concluded from the results that the line Ratna was observed superior general combiner for plant height, spike length, awn length, number of grains per spike, harvest index and grain yield per plant and Athoulpa was observed superior general combiner for plant height, number of effective tillers, awn length, number of grains per spike, harvest index, grain yield per plant. Only a single line Azad found to be good general combiner for days to maturity. KR 521 and RD 2508 found to be good combiner for number of effective tillers and harvest index. For 1000 grain weight, RD 2508 and Dolma 6 found to be good combiner, Whereas HUB113 found to be poor general combiner for all the traits. Among Testers, only a single tester *i.e.* BH 902 was observed to be best general combiner for number of effective tillers, spike length,1000 grain weight, harvest index and grain yield per plant. K745 found to be good combiner for awn length, where as K603 found to be good combiner for number of effective tillers. Apparently, there is still scope for improving combining ability for component traits, as none of the high combiners for grain yield was a high combiner or at least an average combiner for all the desirable traits.

SCA effects of crosses show significant results for many characters, such as crosses KR 521 x K 603, Azad x K745, HUB 113 x BH 902, RD 2508 x K 603 were recorded as outstanding specific combiners for grain yield per plant, also crosses viz. Azad x BH 902, Dolma 6 x K745, HUB 113 x K745, Dolma 6 x K 603 and Azad x K 603 observed to be good specific combiner for grain yield. For number of grains per spike Azad x K745, RD 2508 x K745 and KR 521 x K 603 found to be the best specific combiners. Crosses viz.Dolma x K 603, HUB 113 x BH 902 and Azad x BH902 observed as best specific combiners for plant height with negative significant values. HUB 113 x BH 902 and Azad x K 745 followed by KR 521 x K 603, Athoulapa x K 603, HUB 113 x K745, Ratna x BH 902 observed as good specific combiners, whereas RD 2508 x K 603, Azad x K 603, RD 2508 x BH 902 and KR 521 x BH 902 also found to have positive SCA effects for number of effective tillers. For spike length as a yield contributing trait, cross combinations viz. Azad x K 745, RD 2508 x K 745, Dolma 6 x K 603, Ratna x K 745 and KR 521 x K 603. Cross combinations HUB 113 x BH902, Azad x K745, RD 2508 x BH 902, Ratna x K 603, KR 521 x BH 902, Athoulpa x K 745 and Dolma 6 x BH902 observed to have better SCA significant effects. With reference to find the better specific combiners, this is in conformity with early reports of Bornare et al. (2014), Fahad et al. (2015) Xinzhong et al. (2015), Muneer et al. (2016), Pesaraklu et al. (2016), Patial et al. (2016), Rajput and Kandalkar (2018), Rathore and Chauhan(2017), Thomas et al., 2017, Shrief et al. (2017), Ahemd et al. (2017), Mustafa (2018), Madhukar et al. (2018), Madakemohekar et al. (2018), and Patial et al. (2018).

For grain yield, the maximum per cent heterosis over standard check were observed in HUB 113 x BH 902, Azad x K745, Azad x BH902, Dolma 6 x K745, RD 2508 x K745 revealed greatest value of positive significant heterosis over standard check, while the crosses Azad x K 603 followed by Dolma 6 x K745, RD 2508 x K745, Azad x BH 902 and Azad x K745 showed positive and significant heterosis over better parent. Similar observations were also made by several workers, such as, Ram Daya et al. (2006), Kularia and Sharma (2006), Singh et al. (2011), Koumber and El gammaal (2012), Potla et al. (2013), Saad et al. (2013), Bornare et al. (2014), Shahzadi et al. (2015), Soylu et al.(2006), Baloch et al. (2015), Kalhoro et al. (2015), Pesaraklu et al. (2016), Murugan A and Kannan R. (2017), Shrief et al. (2017), Patial et al. (2018), Patel (2018), Madhukar et al. (2018), Lal et al. (2018), Madakemohekar et al. (2018), Rajput and Kandalkar (2018) and Mustafa (2018). As number of effective tillers is a yield contributing character, crosses HUB 113 x BH902, KR521 x K603 and RD2508xBH902, Azad x K603, HUB113 x K603 revealed significant heterosis over standard check. KR 521 x K 603, RD 2508 x K 745, Azad x K 603, HUB 113 x K 603, Dolma 6 x K 745 revealed positive significant desirable heterosis over better parent. For spike length, crosses *i.e.* RD 2508 x K745, Azad x K745, Ratna x K745, RD 2508 x K 603, KR 521 x K 603 showed positive significant heterosis over standard check and Ratna x K745, RD 2508 x K 745, HUB113 x K745,KR521 x K 603 revealed maximum positive heterobeltiosis. Incase of number of grains per spike, crosses *i.e.* Azad x K745, RD 2508 x K745, KR 521 x K 603, Dolma 6 x K745, HUB 113 x BH 902 revealed highest positive significant heterosis over better parent and recognised as best heterotic combinations, also RD 2508 x K745, Ratna x K745 observed to have positive significant heterosis over better parent. For 1000 grain weight, Ratna x K 603,

HUB 113 x BH 902, RD 2508 x BH 902, Athoulpa x K 603, HUB 113 x K 603 revealed desirable positive significant heterosis over standard check. In case of better parent heterosis, only a single cross *i.e.* RD 2508 x BH 902 revealed significant positive better parent heterosis as adesirable. Similar observation were also observed by several workers for different traits, such as Y1Imaz and Konak (2000), Rugen *et al.* (2004), Ram Daya *et al.* (2006), Soylu (2006), Ilker *et al.* (2010), El-aty (2011), Bilgin *et al.* (2011), Singh *et al.* (2011), Vishwakarma *et al.* (2011), Noorka *et al.* (2013), Lamalakshmi *et al.* (2013), Potla *et al.* (2013), Shahzadi *et al.* (2015), Shrief *et al.* (2017), Patial *et al.* (2018), Patel (2018), Madhukar *et al.* (2018), Lal *et al.* (2018), Madakemohekar *et al.* (2018), Rajput and Kandalkar (2018) and Mustafa(2018).

Table 1: Details of selected barley genotypes.

S.No.	Name of Lines/Testers	Source	Row
	Lines		
1.	Azad	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
2.	KR 521	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
3.	Ratna	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
4.	HUB 113	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
5.	Atahualpa	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
6.	RD 2508	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
7.	Dolma 6	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
	Testers		
1.	K 745	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
2.	K 603	BHU, Varanasi	Six row
3.	BH 902	BHU, Varanasi	Six row

Table 2: Analysis of variance for line x tester analysis of yield, its component and drought tolerant traits of barley during Rabi 2018-2019

Mean Sum of Squares												
Sources of Variations	df	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers	Spike length (cm)	Awn length (cm)	Number of grains per spike	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest Index	Grain yield per plant (g)	
Replicates	2	2.42	33.54*	14.41	0.57	0.02	0.07	1.44	0.87	0.42	7.65*	
Treatments	30	27.52**	31.07**	142.12**	53.11**	2.99**	8.83**	118.54**	41.14**	448.22**	621.73**	
Parents	9	16.38*	42.68**	232.81**	30.64**	1.96**	6.71**	122.98**	63.03**	62.17**	32.35**	
Crosses	20	13.88*	19.26*	104.31**	31.29**	0.65**	3.68**	36.53**	33.14**	259.45**	448.57**	
Parents vs. Crosses	1	400.45**	162.93**	82.19**	691.90**	58.98**	130.89**	1718.97**	4.03	7697.99**	9389.40**	
Lines (Male)	6	5.83	16.1	201.58**	50.03**	0.30**	3.52**	44.91**	33.42**	304.07**	508.37**	
Testers (Female)	2	20.17	7.43	16.78	66.00**	0.23	2.71**	16.51	5.18*	223.14**	932.71**	
Lines x Testers	12	16.86**	22.80*	70.26**	16.13**	0.90**	3.92**	35.67**	37.66**	243.20**	337.97**	
Error	60	6.82	9.86	10.7	0.37	0.07	0.21	6.09	1.14	2.13	2	

* Significant at p=0.05

**Significant at p=0.01

	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers	Spike length (cm)	Awn length (cm)	Number of grains per spike	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest Index	Grain yield per plant (g)
						LINES				
Azad	-0.21	-2.64 *	1.3	-2.04 **	0.15	-0.58 **	1.29	-0.89 **	0.83	0.2
KR 521	-0.32	0.59	-1.84	3.18 **	-0.30 **	-1.14 **	-3.38 **	0.21	1.86 **	-3.38 **
Ratna	0.13	0.81	-5.71 **	-2.46 **	0.19 *	0.53 **	1.74 *	-1.52 **	3.65 **	6.17 **
HUB 113	1.57	1.25	-0.95	-1.91 **	0.11	0.26	-1.91 *	0.18	-4.31 **	0.26
Athoulpa	-0.21	-0.08	-4.71 **	1.22 **	0.06	0.52 **	2.29 **	-2.53 **	4.07 **	12.13 **
RD 2508	0.13	0.81	4.80 **	2.72 **	-0.17	0.22	1.56	3.36 **	-11.16 **	-11.14 **
Dolma 6	-1.09	-0.75	7.11 **	-0.71 **	-0.05	0.19	-1.6	1.18 **	5.05 **	-4.25 **
Std . Error	±0.87	±0.99	±1.80	±0.22	±0.08	±0.15	±0.82	±0.32	±0.54	±0.50
						TESTERS				
BH 902	0.75	0.41	-0.89	1.57 **	0.12 *	0.02	-0.56	0.56 *	3.59 **	7.69 **
K 745	0.36	0.27	0.89	-1.92 **	-0.08	0.35 **	1.02	-0.4	-2.78 **	-3.92 **
K 603	-1.11	-0.68	0	0.35 *	-0.04	-0.37 **	-0.46	-0.16	-0.81 *	-3.77 **
Std . Error	±0.57	±0.65	±0.72	±0.15	±0.05	±0.10	±0.54	±0.21	±0.35	±0.33

Table 3: Estimates of general combining ability effects for yield and its component traits in barley during Rabi 2018-19.

T	able 4: Estimates of s	pecific comb	oining a	ability	effects	for y	yield a	and its	com	ponent	traits ir	barle	y during	g Rabi 2018	8-19.
											Maria		1000		C

^	D	D	Diant	N C	G 11	Å	Number	1000		Grain
Crosses	Days to	Days	Plant boight	NO. OI	Spike	Awn	of grains	grain	Harvest	yield
C1 05565	50 70 flowering	LU maturity	(cm)	tillers	(cm)	(cm)	per	weight	index	per
	nowering	maturity	(CIII)	tiller s	(CIII)	(CIII)	spike	(g)		plant (g)
Azad x BH 902	-1.08	-0.08	-4.61 *	-2.22 **	-0.07	0.39	-0.8	-3.30 **	-3.35 **	6.33 **
KR 521 x BH 902	2.97	2.4	3.12	0.91 *	-0.09	0.53	0.09	2.45 **	-0.96	-12.85 **
Ratna x BH 902	-1.89	-2.32	1.48	1.31 **	0.16	-0.92 **	0.71	0.85	4.31 **	6.53 **
HUB 113 x BH 902	2.36	4.70 **	-6.68 **	2.63 **	0.01	-0.29	-0.93	3.60 **	20.52 **	12.37 **
Athoulpa x BH 902	-2.59	-1.83	2.99	-3.64 **	0.09	-0.22	0.09	-6.88 **	-14.70 **	-9.41 **
RD 2508 x BH 902	0.22	-2.87	3.69	1.02 *	-0.1	0.5	0.84	3.29 **	-5.82 **	-2.96 **
Dolma 6 x BH 902	-1.08	-1.52	1.65	-1.37 **	-0.87 **	-1.41 **	-5.71 **	1.25 *	0.51	-9.25 **
Azad x K 745	-1.37	-1.71	-2.94	2.32 **	0.73 **	-0.21	5.58 **	3.44 **	3.77 **	12.77 **
KR 521 x K 745	2.45	3.24	1.29	-0.95 *	0.14	1.62 **	0.13	-4.69 **	-4.28 **	-3.52 **
Ratna x K 745	0.14	-1.97	-3.5	-2.18 **	0.42 **	0.28	-0.13	-0.81	-1.34	-3.87 **
HUB 113 x K 745	2.52	2.18	1.24	1.61 **	-0.17	0.02	-1.44	-1.52 *	3.44 **	4.88 **
Athoulpa x K 745	-2.67	-0.21	2.27	0.57	-0.25	-0.31	1.57	2.34 **	-2.10 *	-1.01
RD 2508 x K 745	-0.08	1.37	3.78	-0.09	0.70 **	1.13 **	4.67 **	0.43	-6.92 **	-7.67 **
Dolma 6 x K 745	0.63	-2.16	-2.81	-1.16 **	-0.63 **	-0.66 *	-0.44	0.95	2.66 **	5.02 **
Azad x K 603	-0.56	0.79	-0.98	1.24 **	-0.07	-0.47	-4.23 **	-1.38 *	4.26 **	2.66 **
KR 521 x K 603	1.92	0.47	0.74	1.62 **	0.36 *	1.37 **	3.80 *	-2.06 **	0.07	13.19 **
Ratna x K 603	-2.36	0.29	0.22	-1.32 **	0.13	-0.93 **	-2.24	2.79 **	-1.14	-8.25 **
HUB 113 x K 603	0.44	-0.76	-0.96	-0.29	-0.49 **	-0.44	-1.56	-0.74	1.08	-4.94 **
Athoulpa x K 603	-2.19	-2.97	8.63 **	1.62 **	-0.55 **	-1.48 **	-0.91	0.89	-9.49 **	-11.09 **
RD 2508 x K 603	0.19	0.84	-1.83	1.28 **	-0.06	1.47 **	-1.62	-1.23 *	6.94 **	7.85 **
Dolma 6 x K 603	2	2.13	-6.80 **	-2.90 **	0.61 **	0.01	2.53	0.34	2.55 **	3.24 **
Std . Error	±1.52	±1.73	±1.92	±0.40	±0.16	±0.27	±1.44	±0.57	±0.94	±0.88

58	7
----	---

Table 5: Estimates of standard heterosis and better parent heterosis for yield, its component of barley during R	labi2018-19.
--	--------------

Traits	Days to		Days to		Pla	ant	Num	ber of	Spike		
	50% fl	owering	matu	urity	hei	ght	effective tillers		len	gth	
Crosses	SH	BPH	SH	BPH	SH	BPH	SH	BPH	SH	BPH	
Azad x BH 902	-2.46	-0.36	-3.78	-7.05 **	-19.82 **	-6.10 *	-13.42 **	28.67 **	5.81 **	12.69 **	
KR 521 x BH 902	1.40	5.86 *	-1.89	-5.22 *	-12.36 **	-/.60 **	-15.08 **	26.20 **	3.64	10.38 **	
Ratna x BH 902	-5.26 *	-0.37	-6.48 **	-9.66 **	-14.34 **	-7.34 **	-3.02	28.32 **	6.51 **	13.43 **	
HUB 113 x BH 902	1.05	3.22	2.71	-3.06	-23.90 **	-18.56 **	32.11 **	21.65 **	2.10	7.71 **	
Athoulpa x BH 902	-4.56 *	-0.37	-2.70	-8.16 **	-14.92 **	-10.30 **	-12.07 **	-19.03 **	0.83	6.37 **	
RD 2508 x BH 902	-3.16	1.85	-4.32 *	-9.69 **	-15.08 **	-9.11 **	19.31 **	9.86 **	-0.60	4.86 *	
Dolma 6 x BH 902	-2.11	-0.00	-2.16	-2.16	-20.41 **	-6.79 **	-11.46 **	36.83 **	-1.84	3.81	
Azad x K 745	-2.81	1.47	-2.43	-2.43	-22.60 **	-18.39 **	-10.56 **	38.23 **	12.18 **	18.63 **	
KR 521 x K 745	-0.35	4.80 *	0.81	0.81	-19.98 **	-13.44 **	-15.08 **	12.35 **	6.77 **	12.91 **	
Ratna x K 745	0.70	2.86	-2.16	-2.69	-20.71 **	-7.15 **	-12.67 **	37.86 **	10.31 **	42.44 **	
HUB 113 x K 745	2.81	7.33 **	1.08	0.54	-15.60 **	-11.02 **	-11.30 **	38.70 **	2.44	24.80 **	
Athoulpa x K 745	-4.21	0.37	-1.62	-2.15	-15.49 **	-8.59 **	-5.73 *	24.73 **	2.04	11.77 **	
RD 2508 x K 745	-1.40	0.71	-0.54	-0.00	-17.95 **	-3.91	11.00 **	71.54 **	12.65 **	42.33 **	
Dolma 6 x K 745	-1.06	3.29	-3.51	-2.99	-21.71 **	-17.46 **	-9.65 **	39.63 **	-2.70	18.54 **	
Azad x K 603	-3.86	1.11	-1.89	-1.36	-20.98 **	-14.52 **	11.46 **	47.48 **	3.37	13.23 **	
KR 521 x K 603	1.05	3.22	-0.54	-2.13	-12.88 **	-0.24	25.49 **	78.54 **	6.84 **	19.84 **	
Ratna x K 603	-3.86	0.37	-0.81	-2.39	-11.89 **	-7.11 **	-3.62	37.12 **	2.57	15.04 **	
HUB 113 x K 603	-2.46	2.58	-2.43	-3.99	-13.51 **	-6.44 **	11.31 **	47.28 **	-3.17	6.07 *	
Athoulpa x K 603	-4.56 *	-2.51	-4.59 *	-6.61 **	-4.89 *	11.38 **	9.97 **	31.13 **	-1.03	7.46 **	
RD 2508 x K 603	-2.46	1.83	-1.62	-3.71	-11.68 **	-6.89 **	-7.38 **	10.45 **	1.90	10.65 **	
Dolma 6 x K 603	-2.10	2.96	-1.35	-3.44	-16.28 **	-9.44 **	-16.00 **	0.16	9.04 **	18.41 **	
Azad x BH 902	13.04 **	28.34 **	16.71 **	7.31 **	-2.64	-20.38 **	37.47 **	85.53 **	77.96 **	94.18 **	
KR 521 x BH 902	16.39 **	18.44 **	20.14 **	10.45 **	8.04 **	-14.50 **	25.50 **	63.92 **	0.97	10.18 **	
Ratna x BH 902	1.04	14.72 **	18.93 **	9.35 **	4.99 *	-2.28	47.26 **	93.10 **	49.80 **	63.45 **	
HUB 113 x BH 902	4.28	-13.19 **	10.06 **	-3.95	15.16 **	-5.82 **	112.32 **	162.51 **	84.12 **	80.26 **	
Athoulpa x BH 902	7.12 **	-10.83 **	13.66 **	-0.81	-10.28 **	-29.00 **	-12.67 **	7.98	0.64	-1.47	
RD 2508 x BH 902	7.17 **	-10.79 **	12.65 **	-1.69	12.86 **	5.04 **	19.91 **	48.25 **	17.15 **	14.69 **	
Dolma 6 x BH 902	8.19 **	13.19 **	10.52 **	-5.15 *	6.11 **	-13.22 **	57.56 **	116.02 **	53.96 **	62.11 **	
Azad x K 745	19.04 **	21.14 **	28.36 **	10.15 **	8.85 **	-13.85 **	48.24 **	93.61 **	79.96 **	89.47 **	
KR 521 x K 745	26.92 **	32.80 **	18.75 **	1.90	-8.72 **	-15.04 **	29.92 **	70.36 **	39.63 **	47.02 **	
Ratna x K 745	18.29 **	33.83 **	13.20 **	15.57 **	5.29 **	-13.89 **	28.09 **	94.36 **	52.64 **	73.49 **	
HUB 113 x K 745	18.81 **	20.90 **	13.57 **	8.47 **	1.59	-19.61 **	23.30 **	61.04 **	45.46 **	64.10 **	
Athoulpa x K 745	11.36 **	25.99 **	15.70 **	21.44 **	10.70 **	3.03	12.57 **	47.60 **	31.13 **	57.72 **	
RD 2508 x K 745	26.09 **	29.06 **	25.68 **	28.30 **	2.03	-16.56 **	36.49 **	105.15 **	72.79 **	96.40 **	
Dolma 6 x K 745	15.83 **	17.86 **	20.78 **	15.35 **	1.07	-20.02 **	46.13 **	90.87 **	75.46 **	97.94 **	
Azad x K 603	12.04 **	14.67 **	13.48 **	19.11 **	-3.60	-10.28 **	56.86 **	105.69 **	69.96 **	104.41 **	
KR 521 x K 603	25.67 **	20.34 **	23.46 **	17.19 **	9.60 **	-10.36 **	11.69 **	39.42 **	66.78 **	79.70 **	
Ratna x K 603	11.69 **	6.96 **	17.27 **	11.32 **	18.27 **	-6.40 **	-11.08 **	10.99 *	-15.85 **	-9.34 **	
HUB 113 x K 603	10.10 **	5.44 *	16.16 **	10.26 **	10.94 **	3.25	1.50	26.70 **	-7.19 *	0.00	
Athoulpa x K 603	5.25 *	6.11 *	12.56 **	1.08	11.30 **	-8.98 **	31.69 **	21.66 **	23.30 **	32.63 **	
RD 2508 x K 603	28.55 **	29.60 **	13.76 **	2.16	4.48 *	-17.32 **	61.95 **	49.62 **	41.62 **	52.33 **	
Dolma 6 x K 603	13.13 **	14.05 **	17.46 **	5.48 *	8.48 **	0.97	54.68 **	42.90 **	30.48 **	40.34 **	

Where,SH = Standard Heterosis, BPH= Better Parent Heterosis (Heterobeltiosis), * Significant at p= 0.05, ** Significant at p= 0.01

REFERENCES

- Adhikari, P.; Araya, H.; Aruna, G.; Balamatti, A.; Banerjee, S.; Baskaran, P.; Barah, B.C.; Behera, D.; Berhe, T.; Boruah, P.; Dhar, S.; Edwards, S.; Fulford, M.; Gujja, B.; Ibrahim, H.; Kabir, H.; Kassam, A.; Khadka, R.B.; Koma, Y.S.; Natarajan, U.S.; Perez Sen, D.; Sharif, A.; Singh, G.; Styger, E.; Thakur, A.K.; Tiwari, A.; Uphoff, N. and Verma, A. (2018). System of crop intensification for more productive, resourceconserving, climate-resilient, sustainable and agriculture: experience with diverse crops in varying agroecologies. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 16(1): 1-28.
- Ahmed, H.; Rizwan, M.; Arslan Anwaar, H.; Qadeer, A.; Zafar, Z.; Jamil, H.; (2017). Combining ability analysis for morphological traits in wheat. *International Journal* of Biosciences. 11(4): 41-47.
- Badr, A.; Müller, K.J.; Schäfer-Pregl, R.; Rabey, H.E.; Effgen, S.; Ibrahim, H.H. Pozzi, C.; Rohde, W. and Salamini, F. (2000). On the Origin and Domestication History of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 17(4):499-510.
- Beloshapka, A.N.; Buff, P.R.; Fahey, Jr.; G.C. and Swanson, K.S. (2016). Compositional Analysis of Whole Grains, Processed Grains, Grain Co-Products, and Other Carbohydrate Sources with Applicability to Pet Animal Nutrition. *Foods*, 5(2):23.
- Bilgin, O.; Balkan, A.; Korkut, K.Z.; Baser, I.; (2011). Heterotic and Heterobelthiotic Potentials of Bread Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum L.*) Hybrids for Yield and Yield Components, *Journal of Tekirdag Agricultural Faculty*, 8(2)
- Bornare, S. S.; Prasad, L. C.; Lal, J. P.; Madakemohekar, A. H.; Prasad, R.; Singh, Jaswant and Sudhir, Kumar (2014). Exploitation of heterosis and combining ability for yield and its contributing traits in crosses of tworow and six-row barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) under rainfed environment. *Vegetos*, 27 (3): 40-46.covariance regression for spike length in six-row winter barley. *J.* of Horti. Forestry and Biotechnology, 16(1): 82-86.
- Bornare, S.S.; Prasad, L.C.; Lal, J.P.; Madakemohekar, A.H.; Prasad, R.; Singh, J. and Kumar, S. (2014). Exploitation of heterosis and combining ability for yield and its contributing traits in crosses of two row and six row barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under rainfed environment. *Vegetos - An International Journal of Plant Research*, 27: 40-46.
- Bukantis, R. and Goodman, N. (1980). Energy inputs in barley production. p. 59–65. *In*: Pimentel, D. (ed.), Handbook of energy utilization in agriculture. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, FL.
- Chhagan, L.; Shekhawat, A.S.; Rajput, S.S.; Singh, J.; (2018). Heterosis And Inbreeding Depression Studies For Grain Yield And Related Traits In Barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) Under Early And Timely Sown Conditions. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, 10(15): 6886-6889
- Ciulca, S. (2006). Breeding for quantitative traits in plants. Stemma Press, Woodbury, Minnesota. Cockerham, C.C. (1961). Implication of genetic variances in a hybrid breeding programme. *Crop Science*, 8: 720-722.

- El-Aty, M.S.M; Amer, K.H.A.; Eldegwy, I.S. and El-Akhdar, A.A.A. (2011). Genetic studies on yield and its components in some barley crosses. *J Plant Production* 2(11): 1537 - 1550.
- Fahad, A.; Asghar, A.; Shahmir Ali, K.; Amanullah, M.; Sohail, A.; Rab, N. and Fayaz, A. (2015). Heterosis and combing ability in F1 population of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). American Journal of Plant Sciences, 6: 1011-1026.
- Gozukirmizi, N. and Karlik, E. (2017). Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Improvement Past, Present and Future. Open access peer-reviewed chapter, pp: 49-78.
- Ilker, E.; Fatma, A.T.; Tosun, M.; (2010). Heterosis for yield and its components in bread wheat crosses among powdery mildew resistant and susceptible genotypes. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 42 (1):513-522
- Kakani, R.K.; Sharma, Y. and Sharma, S.N. (2007). Combining ability of barley genotypes in Diallel crosses. SABARO Journal of Breeding and Genetics, 39(2): 117-126.
- Kalhoro, F.A.; Rajpar, A.A.; Kalhoro, S.A.; Mahar, A.; Ali, A.; Otho, S.A.; Soomro, R.N.; Ali, F. and Baloch, Z.A. (2015). Heterosis and combing ability in F1 population of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). American Journal of Plant Science, 6(07):1011-1026.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). An introduction to genetic statistics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA.
- Koumber, R.M. and El-Gammaal, A.A. (2012). Inheritance and gene action for yield and its attributes in three bread wheat crosses (*Triticum aestivum* L.). World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 8(2): 156-162.
- Kularia, R.K. and Sharma, A.K. (2006). Heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Indian J. Genet.*; 66(1): 41-42.
- Lamalakshmi, Devi, Swati, Goel, P.; Singh, M. and Jaiswal, J.P. (2013). Heterosis studies for yield and yield contributing traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The bioscan*, 8(3): 905-909.
- Madakemohekar, A.H.; Prasad, L.C.; Lal, J.P.; Bornare, S.S. and Prasad, R. (2015). Study of heterosis and combining ability in exotic andindigenous crosses of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under rainfed environment. *The Bioscan*, 10(2): 751-756.
- Madakemohekar, A.H.; Prasad, L.C.; Lal, J.P.; Prasad, R.; (2018). Estimation of combining ability and heterosis for yield contributing traits in exotic and indigenous crosses of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Research on Crops*, 19(2): 264-270
- Muneer, M.A.; Zaib, U.N.; Munir, M.; Imran, M.; Intikhab, A.; Adil, S.; Saifullah, Noor-Ul-Ain. (2016). Line × tester analysis for yield contributing morphological traits in Triticum aestivum under drought conditions. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research.* 9(2):57-64.
- Murugan, A. and Kannan, R.; (2017). Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yeild traits of indian hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, 8(7): 18242-18246.
- Mustafa, K.M. (2018). Estimation Heterosis and Combining Ability for Yield and Yield Contributing Traits in Two -

Rowed Barley Using Line X Tester. *Journal of Zankoi Sulaimani.*

- Noorka, I.R.; Batool, A.; Rauf, S.; Teixeira da Silva, Jaime and Ashraf, E. (2013). Estimation of Heterosis in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Contrasting Water Regimes. *International Journal of Plant Breeding*. 7(1): 55-60.
- Patel, H.N. (2018). Identification of Heterotic Combinations for Grain Yield and Quality Traits in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International. Journal of Pure Applied Bioscience*, 6 (4):107-115.
- Patial, M.; Pal, D. and Kumar, J.; (2016). Combining ability and gene action studies for grain yield and its component traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). SABRAO Journal of Breeding & Genetics, 48(1): 90-96
- Pesaraklu, S.; Soltanloo, H.; Ramezanpour, S.S.; KalateArabi, M.; Nasrollah NejadGhomi, A.A. (2016). An estimation of the combining ability of barley genotypes and heterosis for some quantitative traits. *Iran Agricultural Research*, 35(1): 73-80.
- Potla, K.R.; Bornare, S.S.; Prasad, L.C.; Prasad R. and Madakemohekar A.H. (2013). Study of heterosis and combining ability for yield and yield contributing traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *The bioscan*, 8(4): 1231-1235.
- Pradhan, S.K.; Boss, L.K. and Meher, J. (2006). Studies on gene action and combining ability analysis in Basmati rice. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 7(2): 267-272.
- Rajput, R.S. and Kandalkar, V.S. (2018). Combining ability and heterosis for grain yield and its attributing traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Oharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(2): 113-119.
- Rashid, M.; Cheema, A.A. and Ashraf, M. (2007). Line x Tester analysis in Basmati rice. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 39: 2035-2042.
- Rathore, R.K. and Chauhan, Y. (2017). GCA and SCA effects analysis for grain yield and its quantitative traits in six-rowed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in Agra region. Indian Journal of Scientific Research. 56-64
- Rugen, X, Lu, C.; Li Z.; Meixue Z.; Mo Huidong, Z. and Wu, X.B. (2004). Studies on heterosis of barley

(Hordeum vulgare L). J. Acta. Agronomica Sinica, 30(7): 668-674.

- Saad, F.F.; Abd El, M.; Abd El, M.A.S. and Al-Soudan, I.H. (2013). Genetic behaviour of grain yield and its components in barley crosses under water stress and non -stress conditions. *Sci. Agri.*; 1(2):45-55.
- Salgotra, R.K.; Gupta, B.B. and Praveen, S. (2009). Combining ability studies for yield and yield components in Basmati rice. *An International Journal on Rice*, 46: 12-16.
- Seboka, H.; Ayana, A. and Zelleke, H. (2009). Combining ability analysis for bread wheat (*Triticum Aestivum L.*). *East African Journal of Sciences*, 3(1): 87-94.
- Shahzadi, M.; Zulfiqar, A.: Farooq, J.; Safdar H. and Rehana B. (2015). Heterosis and heterobeltiosis analysis for spike and its related attributes in different wheat crosses. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 4 (7): 396-400.
- Singh, H.C.; Singh S. and Singh, S.K. (2011). Combining ability and heterosis in six rowed barley. *Indian Journal* of Plant Genetic Resources, 16(2): 90-98.
- Singh, V.; Krishna, R.; Singh, S. and Vikram, P. (2012). Combining ability and heterosis analysis for yield traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 82(11): 916-921.
- Soylu, S. (2006). A study on hybrid vigor of some quantitative traits in barley croses. *Arg. Med.*; 136: 30-36.
- Thomas, N.; Marker, S.; Lal, G.M. and Dayal, A. (2017). Study of heterosis for grain yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) over normal and heat stress condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(4): 824-830.
- Vishwakarma, S.R.: Shukla, A.; Bahadur, R. and Singh, N. (2011). Expression of heterosis for yield and chlorophyll content in barley. *Plant Archives*, 11(2): 891-894.
- Xinzhong, Z.; Liangjie, L.; Chao, L.; Baojian, G. and Rugen, X. (2015). Combining ability of different agronomic traits and yield components in hybrid barley. *PLoS One*, 10(6): e0126828
- Zhang, X.; Liangiie, L.V.; Chai, L.V.; Baojian, G. and Rugen, X. (2015). Combining ability of different agronomic traits and yield components in hybrid barley. *PlosOne*, 10: 6.U. S. Department of Agriculture, 2011).