Molecular breeding strategies for enhancing drought resistance in rice: A review
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ABSTRACT

Drought poses a significant threat to agricultural food production, particularly in the cultivation of rice, which is a semi-aquatic plant. Developing drought resistant rice varieties through conventional breeding approaches is a challenging task due to the complex genetics underlying drought resistance. The mechanisms underlying drought resistance in plants are intricate and encompass a range of physiological and biochemical phenomena, contributing to the complexity of the breeding process. The inherent complexity of drought resistance necessitates the intervention of molecular mechanisms in breeding programs. This review aims to shed light on the current molecular breeding methods employed in the development of durable drought resistant rice varieties.
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Introduction

Rice is the most important food crop in the world, feeding more than two-thirds of the world’s population. It accounts for over 80 per cent of an individual’s daily calorie intake, especially in Asian people. (Sahebi et al., 2018). Drought stress severely impairs rice production in rainfed farming, as rice is a semi-aquatic plant with thin cuticular wax as well as associated with speedy stomata closure (Singhal et al., 2016). In the goal of attaining self-sustainability in rice production by 2050, it is a prerequisite to develop a variety of rice tolerant to various abiotic stresses with a special consideration of higher yield and superior quality (Chukwu et al., 2019).

Rice is highly susceptible to drought stress throughout its life cycle, but large economic losses or even complete crop failure is observed when the stress occurs during flowering. Drought stress before flowering may adversely impact yield by delaying the flowering. (Pantuwan et al., 2002). Male sterility as well as embryo abortion is the common effect of drought stress just after pollination at the reproductive stage leads to poor reproductive success (Ozga et al., 2016).

Drought resistance can be defined as the capacity of a plant to produce its highest economic product under a water-deficit environment relative to water-constraint-free environment. Several factors play an essential role in the response mechanism of a plant to drought stress, such as plant species, age of the plant, growth stage, plants genotype as well as the intensity of drought (Tiwari et al., 2021). Drought tolerance mechanisms include cellular adjustments, physiological acclimation and morphological adaptations which are controlled by genetic factors at different stages. Cellular adjustments for drought tolerance involve increased chlorophyll content and lower osmotic potential. Physiological acclimation comprises higher stomatal density and conductance, decreased transpiration rates, reduced and early asynchrony between female and male flowering and maturation, and better production, accumulation, assimilation, and seed and biomass yield partitioning. On the other hand, morphological adaptations include increased root thickness and length, waxy and thick leaf coverings, decreased leaf weight and size, smaller epithelial cells, delayed leaf senescence and increased green leaf area (Oladasu et al., 2019).

The ability of rice plants to thrive and produce high yields under limited water conditions is crucial for sustainable rice production, especially in drought-prone years exacerbated by global warming and climate change. Breeding for drought tolerance has become imperative due to these challenges; however, the complex and quantitative nature of the trait has posed significant difficulties in studying drought responses. Consequently, breeders must meticulously screen and select numerous genotypes across multiple seasons, years and locations to successfully develop drought-tolerant varieties. An efficient criterion currently utilized in drought breeding programs is trait phenotyping, particularly focusing on grain yield under drought conditions (Shamsudin et al., 2016).

Drought stress: Morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular response

The drought may be defined as the inadequacy of water availability, including precipitation and soil moisture storage capacity in quantity and distribution during the life cycle of a crop to restrict expression of its full genetic yield potential.
Drought stress triggers various morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular responses in rice plants as adaptive mechanisms to cope with water shortage. The mechanisms of drought resistance in plants are indicated in Figure 1. These responses may vary depending on the severity and duration of drought stress. Here are few key responses exhibited by rice crop in response to drought.

**Morphological response**

In rice, morphological response to drought stress involves increased root length and density, enhanced root hairs, deeper rooting, leaf rolling and delayed flowering, reduced tillering (Kadam et al., 2017; Laza et al., 2019).

**Physiological Response**

In rice, one of the primary responses of rice to drought stress is the closure of stomata, reduced photosynthesis, reduced cell expansion and cell division. Furthermore, severe drought conditions can cause significant disruption in photosynthesis and metabolic process, ultimately leading to plant mortality (Anjum et al., 2017). This highlighted that drought stress primarily hampers cell enlargement, while cell divisions are comparatively less affected.

**Biochemical response**

In response to drought stress, rice crop exhibits biochemical responses that involves the accumulation of osmolytes such as proline, glycine betaine, and sucrose, as documented by Hien and Jacobs (2002). These osmolytes play a crucial role in maintaining cellular osmotic balance and stabilizing proteins under water deficit conditions. Furthermore, drought stress triggers the production of antioxidants such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase, which act as defence mechanisms against reactive oxygen species (ROS). These antioxidants help scavenge ROS and prevent oxidative damage to cellular components. Additionally, in drought-resistant cultivars, the expression of heat shock proteins (HSPs) is induced to combat the stress, as highlighted by Jha et al. (2012). HSPs function as molecular chaperones, aiding in protein folding, maintaining protein stability, and preventing protein denaturation under stress conditions.

**Molecular response**

Drought stress induces changes in gene expression patterns in rice plants. Specific genes associated with drought tolerance, such as those involved in osmotic adjustment, stress signalling, and protection against oxidative damage, are upregulated to enhance the plant’s ability to withstand water deficit conditions (Bray et al., 2002). Various transcription factors, including DREB (dehydration-responsive element-binding protein) and NAC (NAM, ATAF1/2, CUC2), are activated under drought stress. These transcription factors regulate the expression of downstream stress-responsive genes, enabling the plant to adapt to water scarcity (Nakashima et al., 2007).

**Molecular Breeding Approaches**

The mechanism of drought resistance in rice is highly intricate, involving multiple genetic and physiological processes. Conventional breeding approaches, although valuable, have limitations in addressing the complexity of drought tolerance. Hence, the integration of molecular biology tool has become imperative for successful development of drought resistant rice varieties.

**Identification of QTL**

The identification of QTL controlling traits under drought stress involves a sequential process: development of mapping populations segregating for drought resistance traits, identification of polymorphic markers, genotyping mapping populations, accurate phenotyping for drought resistance correlated traits and construction of QTL map using genotypic and phenotypic data (Sahebi et al., 2017). The QTLs linked to different traits under drought stress are shown in Table 1. The landmark discovery of qtl1.1 marked the pioneering identification of a QTL linked to drought resistance in rice; located on chromosome 1, qtl1.1 is associated with enhanced drought tolerance and plays a crucial role in influencing grain yield and related agronomic traits under water-limited conditions. This ground breaking finding opened new avenues for understanding the genetic mechanisms underlying drought resistance in rice and has since served as a foundation for further research and breeding endeavours in developing resilient rice varieties.

**Marker assisted selection**

Marker-assisted selection (MAS) plays a pivotal role in breeding for drought tolerance by facilitating the identification of molecular markers associated with key drought tolerance traits in crops. This approach enables breeders to make early and precise selections, resulting in a significant reduction in time and resources required compared to conventional breeding methods. By evaluating specific drought tolerance-related traits such as water-use efficiency, leaf wilting, osmotic adjustment, canopy temperature, and root traits, breeders can effectively identify and prioritize individuals with superior drought tolerance characteristics. Through the integration of MAS, breeders can select individuals or breeding lines that carry the desired drought tolerance alleles based on marker-phenotype associations, accelerating the development of drought-tolerant varieties. This efficient and targeted approach enhances breeding efficiency and contributes to the successful development of drought-tolerant crops. Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of MAS in enhancing drought tolerance in various crops, including rice (Collard and Mackill, 2008; Kumar et al., 2018; Platten et al., 2019). MAS has proven to be a valuable tool in harnessing the genetic potential for drought tolerance and offers great prospects for sustainable crop improvement in water-limited environments.

**Marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC)**

Marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC) is a powerful tool in breeding drought-resistant crop varieties, as it helps to overcome the problem of linkage drag, commonly associated with traditional breeding methods. Linkage drag refers to the unintended transfer of undesirable traits linked to the target trait during the breeding process. By using molecular markers, breeders can selectively introgress only the desired drought tolerance genes or quantitative trait loci (QTLs) from a drought-tolerant donor parent into an elite recipient variety, while minimizing the transfer of unwanted genetic material. This targeted approach ensures that the improved variety retains the desirable agronomic traits of the recipient parent,
resulting in an improved cultivar with enhanced drought tolerance (Nagaraju et al., 2002).

**Molecular-assisted gene pyramiding**

Molecular-assisted gene pyramiding is a powerful approach that enables the introgression of multiple genes for drought resistance into a single rice cultivar. This method overcomes the limitations of conventional breeding, where it is challenging to combine different genes in a single variety due to genetic linkage and time-consuming breeding cycles. Using molecular markers, breeders can select and combine multiple genes or quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with drought resistance from different parental lines. By strategically designing crosses and utilizing marker-assisted selection, the identified genes or QTLs can be pyramided into a single genetic background (Li et al., 2003). This process allows for the accumulation of beneficial alleles and enhances the overall drought tolerance of the resulting cultivar. Gene pyramiding offers several advantages in drought resistance breeding: it increases the genetic diversity and stability of the cultivated varieties, leading to improved and more reliable performance under drought stress. Additionally, it reduces the risk of gene breakdown or loss of resistance due to the presence of multiple resistance genes. Furthermore, molecular-assisted gene pyramiding enables breeders to expedite the development of drought-resistant rice varieties by reducing the time required for conventional breeding cycles (Platten et al., 2019). The precise and efficient selection of specific genes or QTLs using molecular markers facilitates the rapid development of improved cultivars with enhanced drought tolerance.

**Genomic selection**

Genomic selection has played a transformative role in breeding for drought tolerance, enabling breeders to efficiently select and develop crop varieties with enhanced water stress resilience. By leveraging statistical models and large-scale genomic data, genomic selection goes beyond traditional breeding methods, offering a more comprehensive assessment of an individual’s genetic potential. In a study by spindel et al. (2015) and Kumar et al. (2018) genomic selection was used to enhance yield.

The significant advantages of genomic selection are its reliance on genomic estimated breeding values (GEBVs) rather than relying solely on time-consuming and resource-intensive field evaluations (Chen et al., 2017). GEBVs are calculated based on the intricate relationships between genetic markers and target traits, enabling breeders to predict the performance of individuals for drought tolerance at an early stage. This early selection facilitates the identification of superior individuals, accelerating the breeding process by reducing the need for extensive phenotypic evaluations. In the context of drought tolerance, genomic selection has been particularly effective. (Gowda et al., 2014). By utilizing GEBVs generated through genomic selection, breeders can strategically select and prioritize individuals with superior drought resistance-related genetic profiles for further breeding. This approach allows for the accumulation of multiple favourable alleles associated with drought resistance, enhancing the overall resilience of crop varieties to water stress conditions.

**Conclusion**

The susceptibility of rice to drought stress, coupled with its significance as a staple crop for a large portion of the global population, highlights the critical need to prioritize breeding for drought resistance. However, conventional breeding methods face limitations such as slow progress and the challenge of linkage drag, necessitating the integration of molecular approaches. QTL mapping, marker-assisted selection (MAS), marker-assisted gene pyramiding, and genomic selection have emerged as powerful tools in rice breeding for drought resistance. These molecular approaches offer advantages in terms of precision, efficiency, and speed, allowing breeders to target specific genomic regions, select individuals with desired traits, combine multiple genes for drought resistance, and predict genetic potential based on genomic estimated breeding values (GEBV). By harnessing the power of genomics, breeders can expedite the development of improved rice varieties with enhanced drought resistance, thereby ensuring global food security.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTL</th>
<th>Associated trait</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>qDTY1.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Vikram et al., 2011, Ghimire et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY1.2</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Sandhu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY1.3</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Sandhu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY2.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Venuprasad et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY2.2</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Swamy et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY2.3</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Palanog et al., 2014, Sandhu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY3.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Dixit et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY4.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Swamy et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY6.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Dixit et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY6.2</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Dixit et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY9.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Swamy et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY10.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Vikram et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDTY12.1</td>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>Bernier et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qLR8.1</td>
<td>Leaf rolling</td>
<td>Lin et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qLR9.1</td>
<td>Leaf rolling</td>
<td>Barik et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qDLR8.1</td>
<td>Leaf rolling</td>
<td>Dixit et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qLD9.1</td>
<td>Leaf drying</td>
<td>Barik et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Modified from Panda et al. (2021)
Mechanisms of drought resistance

**Escape**
1. Early flowering
2. Early maturity
3. High photosynthetic capacity

**Avoidance**
Water savers
1. Somatic sensitivity
2. Osmotic adjustment
3. Cuticular wax
4. Accumulation of ABA
5. Leaf pubescence

Water spenders
1. Deep root systems
2. Large root length and density
3. Small root hydraulic resistance

**Tolerance**
1. Maintenance of membrane integrity
2. Proline accumulation
3. Compartmentalizing cellular components
4. Translocation of stem reserve
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