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Abstract

The growth, yield and economics of rainfed fodder crops (pearl millet, sorghum and sudan grass) as influenced by with four NPK levels viz. control, 75%, 100% and 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) was evaluated in a horti-pastoral system. Experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design replicated thrice. Among the test crops, pearl millet gave significantly higher values of plant height, number of nodes plant\(^{-1}\), green leaves plant\(^{-1}\), leaf area index, shoot weight plant\(^{-1}\) (fresh and dry) and fodder yield. Application of 125 % RDF also resulted significantly higher values of above parameters than rest of the NPK levels except 100% RDF. Fodder pearl millet accrued highest gross returns, net returns and B: C ratio with use of 125% RDF.
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Introduction

Productivity of rainfed crops is often adversely affected due to drought. Reductions in yields are more pronounced under rainfed conditions than the irrigated ones. The establishment of fruit orchards is a common practice in the Vindhyan region. Guava is an important fruit crop popularly grown by the native farmers. Adoption of an agroforestry system may be a potential alternative where woody perennials combine with arable crop on the same land management unit. Agro-forestry systems advocate higher total biomass production, profit and sustainability with efficient use of resources than the crop component alone. The interspaces available between orchards may be successfully utilized to grow fodder sorghum, pearl millet and grasses under wide range of environmental conditions (Orwa et al., 2009). These fodder crops produces optimum yield levels under water deficit and high temperature stress conditions (Smith and Frederiksen, 2000). Quick growing rainfed fodder crops may produce high dry matter in short duration with better quality. Sorghum has remarkable ability to recover from short term drought (Martin J.H., 1930) due to lower transpiration ratio and less water depletion from the soil (Merrill et al., 2007). Judicious use of fertilizer increases forage yield and improves quality especially protein contents (Ayub et al., 2007). Nitrogen (N) has special significance in dynamic vegetative growth, imparts green color, improve biomass and essential for protein production. Phosphorus (P) plays a vital role in physiology and biochemistry of plants since involved as a component of genetic material (nucleic acids), as energy currency (ATP) of plants, fixation of CO\(_2\), sugar metabolism and storage and transfer of energy (Demkin and Ageev 1990) and also stimulates root growth and drought tolerance in plant. Potassium (K) is involved in the plant developmental process, activates >60 enzymes commonly considered as the quality nutrient. The management of primary nutrients (N, P, K) is of paramount importance for sustainable crop production under rainfed conditions. The present study was conducted to evaluate the performance of fodder crops under varied NPK levels in a guava based horti-pastoral system.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Farm, Rajiv Gandhi South Campus Barkachha in the Vindhyan region of Mirzapur district located at 25° 10’N latitude and 82°37’ E longitudes and altitude of 147 meters above sea level. This region falls under agro-
climatic zone III (eastern semi-arid plain area). The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture, acidic in reaction (pH 5.89) low in nitrogen availability (225.63 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) with moderate phosphorus (20.97 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and potassium (243.38 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). The experiment was laid out during rainy season of 2018 in a randomized complete block design replicated thrice. Test fodder crops (sorghum, pearl millet and sudan grass) with four NPK levels (\(N_0=\) control, \(N_1=75\%\) RDF, \(N_2=100\%\) RDF, \(N_3=125\%\) RDF) were sown in an eleven year old guava planted at a spacing of 7 × 7 meters. Test crops were sown by leaving a space of 0.5 meter from tree base made each side. The RDF (N, P, K) used in the experiment was 80, 40, 20 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Recommended seed rate adopted to raise fodder test crops \textit{i.e.} pearl millet @ 10, sorghum @ 40 and sudan grass @ 12 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Fodder crops were manually sown in the interspaces of guava at a row distance of 30 cm.

**Growth attributes and yield**

The observations on growth attributes (plant population, plant height, green leaves plant\(^{-1}\), nodes plant\(^{-1}\), fresh and dry shoot weight plant\(^{-1}\) and leaf area index) were recorded from five randomly selected plants from each plot. Fodder was harvested once close to ground at 60 days after sowing. Guava fruit yield was recorded from three trees randomly selected from each replication, averaged and expressed in kg ha\(^{-1}\).

**Economics**

Economics of the treatments were separately calculated by taking into account the prevailing input and output prices. Cost of cultivation was calculated by taking into account all the expenses incurred during experimentation. Gross return was calculated by multiplying the total green fodder yield hectare\(^{-1}\) with their current market price. Net return and B: C ratio was calculated with the help of the following formula:

\[
\text{Net return (₹ ha}\(^{-1}\)) = \text{Gross return (₹ ha}\(^{-1}\)) - \text{Cost of cultivation (₹ ha}\(^{-1}\))
\]

\[
\text{Benefit : cost ratio} = \frac{\text{Net return (₹ ha}\(^{-1}\))}{\text{Cost of cultivation (₹ ha}\(^{-1}\))}
\]

**Result and Discussion**

**Growth parameters**

Fodder crops exerted significant variations in all growth attributes \textit{viz.} plant height, green leaves plant\(^{-1}\), nodes plant\(^{-1}\), fresh and dry shoot weight plant\(^{-1}\) and leaf area index except plant population (Table 1). Pearl millet exhibited significantly superior growth attributes over rest of the crops however, its plant height found at par with sorghum. Pearl millet grows vigorously and become taller than other crops. Greater plant height gained by pearl millet caused significantly greater value of other growth parameters than sorghum and sudan grass. Similar results

---

**Table 1: Effect of fodder crops and varying NPK levels on growth parameter at harvest grown under guava based horti pastoral system.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Plant population (m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Number of green leaves plant(^{-1})</th>
<th>Number of nodes plant(^{-1})</th>
<th>Leaf area index</th>
<th>Shoot weight (gram)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfed crops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_2):Bajra</td>
<td>14.67</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>166.25</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>13.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_3):Jowar</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>165.81</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>12.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_4):Sudan grass</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>150.58</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>11.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEm±</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (P=0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK Levels (kg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_0):Control</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>138.95</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>10.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_75%):RDF</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>154.31</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>12.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_100%):RDF</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>172.85</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>13.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_125%):RDF</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>177.41</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>13.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEm±</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (P=0.05)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2: Effect of fodder crops and varying NPK levels on yield grown under guava based horti pastoral system.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Yield (q ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Green fodder</th>
<th>Dry fodder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rain-fed crops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_2):Bajra</td>
<td>213.96</td>
<td>56.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_3):Jowar</td>
<td>196.35</td>
<td>54.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_4):Sudan grass</td>
<td>189.91</td>
<td>50.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEm±</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (P=0.05)</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK Levels (kg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_0):Control</td>
<td>159.75</td>
<td>48.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_75%):RDF</td>
<td>193.28</td>
<td>53.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_100%):RDF</td>
<td>215.30</td>
<td>54.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_125%):RDF</td>
<td>234.63</td>
<td>59.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEm±</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (P=0.05)</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Table 3: Effect of fodder crops and varying NPK levels on economics under guava based horti-pastoral system at harvest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total gross return (₹ ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Net return (₹ ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Benefit: cost Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₃N₁</td>
<td>62600.00</td>
<td>4328.70</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃N₂</td>
<td>76320.00</td>
<td>54639.80</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁N₁</td>
<td>85062.00</td>
<td>62501.70</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁N₂</td>
<td>91634.00</td>
<td>68225.70</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₁</td>
<td>62045.00</td>
<td>41473.70</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₂</td>
<td>69469.00</td>
<td>46488.80</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₃</td>
<td>77606.00</td>
<td>53745.70</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₄</td>
<td>82972.00</td>
<td>58263.70</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₅</td>
<td>61483.00</td>
<td>41501.70</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₆</td>
<td>70514.00</td>
<td>48123.80</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₇</td>
<td>73454.00</td>
<td>50183.70</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂N₈</td>
<td>78910.00</td>
<td>54791.70</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Green fodder price- Rs 3 kg⁻¹

have been reported by Thumar et al., (2016). Out of different NPK levels, 125% RDF gave maximum value of plant height, number of green leaves plant⁻¹, number of nodes plant⁻¹ and LAI which were significantly superior over 75% RDF and control. However, 100% RDF was found at par with 125% RDF (Table 1). Higher plant population was recorded with 125% RDF found at par with 100% RDF and 75% RDF (Table 1). Probably, increasing the quantity of NPK provided vigorous growth. Higher photosynthetic surface area intercepts more solar energy that is sufficient for higher photosynthetic activity and produced more photosynthates in plants. These results are supported by the findings of Obeng et al., (2012), Yadav et al., 2017 and Vaishy et al., 2019 also reported that higher dose of nitrogen produces greater value of plant height, green leaves plant⁻¹, number of nodes plant⁻¹ and leaf area index (LAI) of fodder crops.

Yield and Economics

Fodder yield

Yield of crop is the function of all the growth parameters viz. plant height, number of tillers hill⁻¹, number of green leaves hill⁻¹, leaf area index, fresh and dry weight of plant. Dry and fresh fodder yields significantly altered due to fodder crops and NPK levels (Table 2). The pearl millet proved significantly superior over other crops and produced higher green and dry fodder yields. Similar results were reported by Kaushik et al., (2015). Application of 125% RDF recorded significantly highest green and dry fodder yields. Higher fodder yields obtained with higher NPK level was actually due to the accelerated formation of nucleotides and co-enzymes, protein synthesis, and photosynthetic activity which created favourable effect on growth and development of plants.

This view also confirms the observations made by Bhuva et al., (2018) and Rana et al., (2013). Senthilkumar et al., 2018 and Togas et al., 2017 also reported that application of higher dose of fertilizer significantly increases the stover yield of pearl millet. None of the interactions were significant.

Economics

The practical utility of any treatment can be judged by gross returns, net returns and B: C ratio. Maximum gross return (91634.00 ₹ ha⁻¹) and net return (68225.70 ₹ ha⁻¹) was obtained when pearl millet received 125% RDF (Table 3). This treatment combination proved most effective in terms of the economics since produced relatively more fodder yield. The highest B: C ratio (2.91) was recorded with pearl millet + 125% RDF while minimum with sorghum + control (Table 3). Similar observations also reported by Reddy et al., (2016).

Conclusions

Results indicate that to achieve higher productivity and net return under rainfed condition, fodder pearl millet suitable with application of NPK @ 100, 50, 25 kg ha⁻¹ in guava based horti-pastoral system. Horti-pastoral system also distributes the risk between perennial (guava) and annual crops components. Farm income stabilization, nutritional security and employment generation are possible by harmonizing fruit plants with fodder crops.
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