Plant Archives Vol. 20, Supplement 1, 2020 pp. 3068-3075 **e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210**

PARADOXICALLY VICIOUS CYCLE OF AGRICULTURE POLLUTION : A CONTEXTUAL BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REVIEW FOR PROSPECTIVE AGENDA

Rajinder Minhas[1]*

[1]Mittal School of Business, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab India-144 411

* Corresponding Author: e mail:rajinder.21933@lpu.co.in

Abstract

Pollution and its control are most concerned and deliberated issue of contemporary world. Amongst all pollutants agriculture pollution is the most gruesome. There are many reasons accountable to this irony. Taking stock of these situation, recently in India the Supreme Court of the country while taking up a report submitted by the Environmental Pollution Control Board or EPCA on the pollution caused by stubble-burning; pulled up various state Governments. Also it became the headlines of many TV channels and News Papers. "CAN'T HAPPEN IN CIVILIZED COUNTRY": top court fumes over Delhi pollution (Source: NDTV with Inputs from Press Trust of India-PTI November 04, 2019). Similarly, in this paper an attempt has been made to understand a fuming vicious circle of pollution, especially taking a contextual view of agricultural pollution. In support a contextual literature is reviewed to understand the chemistry of agricultural pollution and its effect on biosphere. There is discussion on some of the most sought initiatives of policy makers which are needed, as remedies through designed motivated systems to enhance agricultural practices but curtailing along the environmental consequence!

Keywords: Agricultural; Pollution; Chemicals; Economic Development; Sustainability

Introduction

Green revolution reduced appetite however; it likewise showed in developments of different indecencies and afflictions in types of ecological debasements and human wellbeing challenges. Agrarian pollution has got biotic and abiotic side-effects of farming that bring about tainting effects on natural environments as well as causes harms to biosphere. Starting from point source: water pollution diffusion; and landscape level is the source of non-point source pollution. The pollution management techniques assume a significant job in managing pollutants. The management procedures extend from animal management and housing to controlling the side effects from the use of pesticides and fertilizers in agricultural practices. Application of pesticides and herbicides to control bugs that disturb crop creation results in soil contamination when pesticides persevere and remains in soils. It can modify microbial procedures, increases the capacity of the plants to take-up of the substance, and can be poisonous to soil microorganisms. The degrees to which the effects of pesticides and herbicides residues remain in soil depend upon the input/inorganic compound's chemistry and soil quality. Pesticides in aggregate affect living beings. Moreover, pesticides can be increasingly unsafe to beneficial insects, for example, pollinators, and to natural enemies of bugs than they are to the target pests themselves. Agricultural innovation like; Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO) crops can, in any case, bring about hereditary pollution of local plant species through hybridization. This could prompt expanded weed (iness) of the plant or the elimination of the local species. Likewise, the transgenic plant itself may turn into a weed; if the adjustment improves its wellness in a given environment. There are likewise; concerns that non-target organisms, for example, pollinators could be harmed by inadvertent ingestion of Bt-delivering plants.

Therefore, subsequent antagonistic effects of pollution on natural environment, normal assets and human wellbeing alert us! Research very much acknowledged that farming brings organic and physiological unsettling influences. In addition, Counterfeit endeavors make unusual web chains like changing the prey-predator connections (Vanni *et al.*, 2005). There is presentation of obscure species likes biocides, wet glades, and fens (Wheeler, 1980; Williams *et al.*, 2004)). Fundamentally; agriculture culture upsets environment and its working and furthermore biodiversity of oceanic territories (Moss 2008). Nevertheless as stated earlier; agribusiness is unavoidably an essential need to feed tremendous human populace! In consequence, agriculture assumes an urgent job and henceforth; significance of agriculture can't be undermined. But the negative side of the agriculture business has reasons for concerns! Therefore; here comes the need to balance these two opposite behaviours by appropriate school of thoughts. The affects and alerts on agriculture fronts compels every one of us to strategically harness ample opportunity to overhaul such agriculture frameworks which keep up and advance the progression of normal assets from its regular biological systems to conceivable best fit(s) *vis a vis* reducing losses to environments as its side effects. It all can happen when there are sure strategic and compliance drives. Here is need to reason for changes in climate, control dangers of bugs so forth (Moss, 2008). Ecological enactments for strategy system plus there has to be advances in terms of "escalated agriculture" (Sutton *et al.*, 2011). Crop yields have to be optimized *vis a vis* use of inputs for farming (Minhas, 2019).Therefore, "presently eco-productivity has become a widespread subject both in ecological and agribusiness sciences" (Picazo-Tadeo *et al.*, 2011). Falling and debasing gauges of condition; fundamentally; alerts for faithful reflection to comprehend what has been done (=achievement) to accomplish what (=cost)!

Agricultural pollution is side-effects of cultivating results. Everything that brings about harm, defilement and corruption of condition and biological system is pollution (Abbasi, 2014). The relationship of agriculture pollution with environment forms a loop in terms of PSR circle:

Pressure (P): It is utilized to comprehend the pressure the earth is to face from the agricultural exercises which cause an adjustment in the states of condition.

State(S): It is the present status of the earth.

Reaction (R): Resultant impacts (in term of cultural pressure). It is a difference of two above stated conditions viz Pressure (P) and State(S).

Pollution Versus Agriculture

Pollution severely influences the crops. Seriousness of harms can be measures of poisons and pervasiveness of different conditions which are troublesomely connected to yield of crops (Agrawal, 2005). The toxins can be poisonous synthetic concoctions, ozone harming substances, and other unsafe airborne particles which adversely influencing the efficiency, quality and yield.

Air Pollution versus Agriculture:

Pollution radiated noticeable all around from the three conditions of matters for example solids, fluids and gases in air is called air pollution. The scenario of air contamination is the result of many components like:-

Ozone:

Ozone pollutes agriculture. The drastic impacts of ozone on the development of crops were rightly observed in 1944 (Roy *et al.*, 2009). Ozone is "development of the complex photochemical responses in the troposphere including nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, and unpredictable substances. Emanating substances from the burning of non-renewable energy sources and from motors fuel add to the ozone formations" (Guderian, 1985). The seriousness of contamination is very much demonstrated in term of "harm to many plant species, for example, cucumber, grape, tomato, onion, potato, radish, and tobacco crop when it enters into plants through their stomata; which are little openings in the leaves (Del Valle-Tascon; Griffi ths 2003; Carrasco-Rodrigue 2004)". On indications it is seen by scientists that contamination manifestations typically show up on "the upper piece of the leaves causing chlorosis (yellowing of leaves), bit development (>1 mm distance across) and foliar damage in the yields prompting decline in the harvest creation. It is likewise seen that dicot plants (cotton, peanuts) are more influenced by ozone fixation than monocots like; corn and wheat" (Heagle, 1989). It is additionally included that ozone pollution restrains photosynthesis; expand the senescence procedure and "causing other cell and metabolic damage (Miller 1987; Runeckles and Chevone, 1991)". Again it is demonstrated by the researchers that; "ozone inside the chloroplast, stops the action of ribulose bisphosphate: carboxylase (Rubisco) bringing about the abatement of carbon and in this manner there is lesser production" (Heath and Taylor, 1997).

Sulfur dioxide:

Among the group sulfur oxides (SO_X). SO_2 is generally grim and perilous and is for the most part used to refer sulfur oxides. Sulfur dioxide is the compound of sulfur and oxygen mixes. It when essentially radiated noticeable all around is one of the reasons for air pollution. Ignition and combustion of fossil fuels and non-renewable energy sources, coal, oils and other modern heating and melting tendencies add to the formation of sulfur dioxide (Emberson, 2003). Industrial procedures which lead to the extraction of metal from minerals are the primary drivers for this contamination. Eruption of volcanoes and ejection of magma radiated along these lines plus engineering for building autos, trains, boats and motors, vehicles and substantial hardware that utilizes and consumes fuels with sulfur substance are among the major sources which cause sulfur pollution. Sulfur also attacks foliage and decreases the development of the plants. It is again proved that soybean is the most influenced crop because of sulfur dioxide contamination. Taken up by the stomata of plants because of its dissolvability; it can prompt two types of damage for example milder or acute and severe or chronic. There arise an occurrence of intense damage; necrotic sores are seen on the two sides of the leaf along the veins and edges which has happened because of the take-up of high sulfur dioxide. In interminable damage there is an introduction to the sulfur dioxide which prompts chlorosis (Mudd, 1975). Moreover, Furthermore, "crop plants such as alfalfa, barley, radish, spinach, and tobacco are also sensitive to sulfur dioxide gas" (Cohen *et al.* 1981). Sulfur dioxide is likewise a reason for acidic downpour and this downpour gravely influences the delicate biological system. The corrosive downpour which contains substance of this gas harms "the roots and shoots arrangement of plant species and drains out numerous significant minerals and supplements from the soil and in consequence affects the crop yields" (Tabatabai and Olson, 1985). In progression it is proved further that "oxygen and sulfur dioxide gases respond to deliver sulfur trioxide, which further responds with water fumes present noticeable all around to shape sulfuric corrosive or corrosive downpour making circuitous harm to trees and plants" (Matsubara *et al.*, 2009).Sulfur dioxide impacts health wellbeing as exposure to this gas can hurt the respiratory system of living beings. It challenges respiratory system and results in disorder like asthma. The kids are specifically increasingly exposed to such asthmatic issue. Sulfur dioxide is likewise one the significant compound in making sulfur oxides (SO_X). SO_X further respond with different substances in the climate and make mixes in term of particulate matter (PM) contamination which is a substance when sulfur molecule directly enters into lungs and creates health disorders.

Fluorides:

After ozone and SO_3; fluoride is observed as the most significant pollutant (Telesiński *et al.,* 2011). "Fluoride is available as hydrogen fluoride discharged from heating rocks, kilns, ovens and industrial facilities producing aluminum and phosphate fertilizers" (Khan, 2012). Fluoride changes the physiology of the plants. The germination and yield of the harvests are antagonistically influenced. It is opined and proved by the scientists that higher level of fluorides diminishes the photosynthesis procedure, "changes the structure and membrane permeability and may likewise actuate different modifications in physiological and biochemical structure of crops" (Gautam *et al.,* 2010). Beside this excessive fluorine gas "harms peach, grape, plum, sweet corn, and barley" (Griffi ths 2003). It merits referencing that the low degree of fluorides is also not acceptable. Low degree of fluorides can prompt metabolic unsettling influences. "It causes yellowing of leaf edges in both monocots and dicots" (Gautam and Bhardwaj 2010). It hinders the protein's capacities, for example, "glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, malate dehydrogenase, peroxides

and ATPase-a significant catalyst of chloroplast" (Treshow and Anderson 1991).

Greenhouses Gases:

Greenhouse effect is that greenhouse gases makes optimization by absorbing infrared radiations from sunlight and by reflecting back in the atmosphere. Similarly: earth's temperature is maintained. But there is a threat to this green-house mechanism. It is because of "irregularity between the sources and sinks of these gases and their fixation in the air is expanding step by step which is a potential danger to our Earth's populace and are ending up being the significant contributor to the adverse changes in the atmospheric and climatic conditions" (Preston and Leng 1989).

Polluting Gases:

Polluting gases principally incorporate carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide and methane which are typically created from wetlands.

Carbon Dioxide:

The significant constituent for photosynthesis is carbon dioxide. Carbon dioxide is basic gas which plant breathes and is fundamental for their development. This procedure all in all is designated "carbon fertilization". It is proved that the raised fixations of carbon dioxide are destructive for the "development cycle, physiological structure and chemistry of plants as this gas is answerable for 60–70 % of greenhouse impact" (Hatano and Lipiec 2004). Industrial smoke, burning of fossil fuels and manures and so forth are the fundamental sources of carbon dioxide generation. Carbon dioxide enters the plants through their stomata and causes "necrotic injuries" on the "leaves of tomato and cucumber" (Griffi ths 2003). Also the rise of carbon dioxide "causes increment in the convergence of nonstructural starches inside the leaves and it very well may be an explanation of decreased nitrogen amount in plant tissues" (Ainsworth and Long, 2005). Research likewise demonstrated that in quest of better returns; farmers use fertilizers to upgrade the yield of crops. Attributable to this propensity "more carbon dioxide released by plants during respiration process, which has some uncommon and drastic consequences for the atmosphere" (Ainsworth and McGrath, 2010).

Nitrogen Oxide:

It contains "nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) and nitric oxide (NO) and both of these compositions fundamentally cause pollution and form nitrous oxide (N_2O). Oxides are primarily created by the reactions between oxygen and nitrogen present in the environment and it happens at high temperature" (Emberson, 2003). It is additionally included that "nitrous oxide is likewise created by the microorganisms present in the soil. It is also proved that added that enormous measure of nitrogen is also available when it is not absorbed by the plants" (Doll and Baranski, 2011). Research likewise supplements that "Nitrous oxide is additionally discharged by growing nitrogen-fixing crops and by the applications of fertilizers in the cropland" (Aneja *et al.,* 2009). Moreover oxides are also produced by fuel ignition by vehicles. Fertilizers also prompt change of nitrogen into nitrous oxide when reacting with microorganisms present in the soil (Sanders 2012). Nitrogen oxides are additionally causative operator of acidic rain. The negative impacts can be found in developing crops like *Nicotiana tabacum* (tobacco), *Daucus carota* (carrot) and *Pisum sativum* (peas).On manifestations it is additionally found that on the upper surface of the leaf there are seen dim and green-shaded patches bringing about sores. There are mild damage side effects on radish and alfalfa (Law and Mansfiel, 1982). It is in consequence suggested that the convergence of nitrogen ought to be low to avoid negative effect on plant advancement.

Soil Erosion:

Nature of soil is of crucial significance to fulfill the expanding need of nourishment. Anyway its erosion is reason for worry; as it influences both the sowing of crops and biodiversity. Actually; soil erosion is decrease in the nature of the soil because of improper use. It is brought about by expanded development, industrialization, deforestation, and ill-advised utilization of fertilizers. "Excessive grazing, desertification, nutrients removal, increased salinization, and soil erosion either by water or wind can lead to degradation of soil" (Maqsood *et al.,* 2013). Erosion might be by wind or water. Erosion by wind demolishes the planted seed; the structure of the soil is changed, efficiency of crops is diminished and resultantly there is decrease in both the yield and the quality.

Climate versus Agriculture

Environmental change is alluded to as changes and varieties that happen in atmosphere and extends for a couple of years to numerous decades. The reasons for such changes can be many and mainly may be due to abnormal procedures happening in the world's air and due to anthropogenic changes (impact of people on condition). "Agriculture has become a most debated issue due to severe impacts of it on climate (Decker *et al.,* 1986). The results of agriculture on atmosphere and the resultantly environmental change affecting farming are interlinked to one another. The most significant connection between these two is the ozone depleting substances. Agriculture affects ozone and ozone affects agriculture. Its effect on agriculture has become area of concern (Adams *et al.,* 1990). Agricultural practices, for example, "utilization of nitrogen fertilizers and engineered pesticides emanate around one-quarter of worldwide anthropogenic ozone harming substances" (Scialabba and Lindenlauf, 2010). Agriculture releases an assortment of GHG, for example, CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O into surroundings (Cole *et al.,* 1995; Paustian *et al.* 2004). As discussed above these fellow gases bring about ecological changes; for example, "increase in temperature, changes in precipitation, and outrageous climate conditions. It is to be learnt that earth's normal temperature will rise around 0.3° centigrade consistently with the increase in green houses gases" (Houghton *et al.,* 1990). A worldwide temperature alteration is for the most part a direct result of the anthropogenic increment in GHG (Crowley, 2000). "A high centralization of ozone harming substances produces radiative driving which will in general warm the outside of the earth" (Houghton *et al.,* 2001). The expanded convergence of ozone depleting substances has prompted expanded warming of the earth because of positive radiative powers. "Expanded discharge of carbon dioxide is ascribed to the expansion in land utilized for agriculture besides fossils fuels and burning of residue of green plants and woodlands. Expansion of agriculture has resulted in soil degradation, decrease in the levels of soil organic carbon and nitrogen, and increased emission of atmospheric carbon dioxide, nitric oxide, and methane either by converting natural systems into

agricultural system (deforestation, biomass) or by soil management practices (use of fertilizers)" (Tavi and Lal, 2013). It is repeatedly accepted that high level of carbon dioxide and methane mostly contribute to warming. "Microbial decomposition or burning of soil organic matter (SOM) and residuary waste is the main source of release of carbon dioxide" (Janzen, 2004; Smith, 2004). It is further added that "methane emission, due to enteric fermentation, is one of the most significant sources of GHG emissions from agriculture. It mostly accounts for 4–5 % of the world anthropogenic gas emissions" (Scialabba and Lindenlauf, 2010). It is worthwhile to mention that the challenges to climate from ever increasing farming practices can be nullified by agricultural communities itself. Farming with perennials, protecting natural habitats and friendly production of livestock are some of the alternatives (Scherr and Sthapit, 2010). "Although many programs have been formed to lessen the emission of GHG yet the increased food demands have kept the release of these gases at higher rate. The application of different practices including reduced tillage systems, management of crop residues, nutrient and pest management and their control, agroforestry, use of biochar as soil amendment, and other agricultural technologies are some recommended managing practices which will help in reducing the impact of agriculture on climate and environment" (Tavi and Lal, 2013).

FARMING VERSUS CONTAMINATION

Agriculture burning is the main factor for pollution emanating from agriculture. Burning of waste and residual material in the process of carrying the job of farming leads to this type of pollution. Shrubs, pests are example of residual wastes. Bushes, straws are case of leftover squanders. The way toward destroying waste material which comes usually from agrarian practices brings about the emanation of certain synthetic substances, smoke, and particulate issue. "Particulate matter pollutes the air which is destructive for our wellbeing. Agriculture burning likewise discharges carbon, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide and sulfur dioxide which influence air as well as the crops" (Jenkins *et al.,* 1996; Werther *et al.,* 2000). It is further added that "residual waste of rice and wheat as a rule adds to the creation of numerous gases" (Venkataraman *et al.* 2006).Recently, in India the Supreme Court of India took intense perspective on air pollution rising up out of the stubble burning of paddy straw waste and pulled up Government of Haryana Uttar Pradesh and Punjab(States in the National Capital Region or NCR) for neglecting to take adequate measures to avoid poisonous emanations from crop burnings and Industries (Source-PTI, November 04, 2019).

Utilization of Fertilizers

To build fruitfulness, fertilizers are added in soil. "Nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium are available as essential supplements in fertilizers. Increased amount of concoction fertilizers applied to plants influences the air and discharges nitrogen oxides, for example, NO_2, and N_2O causing air contamination"(Savci 2012). In developed nations there has been decline in the utilization of fertilizers due of its negative impacts. But in underdeveloped nations fertilizers are as yet utilized in over the top amounts. Research demonstrated that fertilizers produce 1.2 % of ozone depleting substances into the earth (Kongshaug 1998). Methane gas; "the fields where rice is developed are overflowed with water. This is the fundamental main source of methane gas creation" (Zhuang *et al.,* 2009). These fields provide conducive and positive conditions to the methanogenic microscopic organisms. "Humidity, natural organic substances produce methane gas which eventually contaminates the air" (Sandin, 2005). Particulate Matter; "Particulate issues are the blend of sulfate, natural and basic carbon, strong mixes, dust, nitrate, smoke, and little droplets of fluid" (Jacob and Winner, 2009). It can likewise be come about because of wind erosion disintegration; cultivating process performed to prepare land for agricultural purposes; by residual burnings and can likewise be framed during the responses of sulfur and nitrogen oxides. This seriously influences the vegetation by meddling with the pesticides. Other than this; basic residue created as particulate issue may expand the alkalinity of the developing area and in result represses the yield development and death of leaf tissues (Lemke *et al.,* 2004).

Farming versus Water Pollution

Agriculture worldwide accounts for 70% of water withdrawals for irrigation. It is proved that there is need of 2000-3000 liters of water to satisfy daily dietary need of a single person. It is to be remembered that over 7 billion people inhibit the planet earth today. It requires quantity of water that would fill a canal ten meters deep, 100 meters wide and 2100 kilometers long. To build the crop yields agriculturists include Nitrogen and Phosphorous constituent fertilizers. "Supplements of nitrogen and phosphorous are required for the development of the plant; be that as it may, plants utilize just the necessary measures of these supplements, and overabundance supplements are typically connected with filtering and unnecessary spillover move from land to water bodies" (Tunney *et al.,* 2010).This "transfer of nitrogen and phosphorous increases their substance constituents in the surface water, ground water and soil" (Volk *et al.* 2009). The degree of move of unused components to water bodies and harm done depends upon the site situations, type of soil, chemistry of soil and fertilizers added for cultivating (Doody *et al.,* 2012). It is proved by the research that "the procedure of spillage of these supplements into water bodies at last causes eutrophication, and as indicated by one report, around 55 percentage of exorbitantly enriched surface water in Europe is brought about by agriculture generally by the loss of these supplements from soil into surface water" (Vörösmarty *et al.,* 2010; Sutton *et al.,* 2011). Analysts proved that due to losing of components of nitrogen and phosphorous in the water frameworks; the water quality in the USA is poor (Sharpley *et al.,* 2008). Similarly; "A survey was led in Shanghai, China, to analyze the grouping of nitrogen and phosphorous in groundwater and surface water. The outcomes indicated that this nonpoint source-contaminated groundwater and surface water was unfit for drinking purposes. The centralization of nitrogen in surface water was 6.3 mg per liter, while in groundwater, 16.85 mg of nitrogen per liter was determined. Further investigations confirmed that contamination of groundwater is brought about by nitrogen-based fertilizers utilized in peach plantation" (Shen *et al.,* 2012). Agriculture records for 70% of water withdrawals for water system. The area under water system from 139 million hectares in 1961 to 320 million hectares in 2012 has dramatically increased. 97% of the water on earth is salt water and only 3% is the fresh water. Aquaculture especially in Asia has developed more

than 20fold since the 1980s.Agriculture influences oceanic frameworks called eutrophication which is brought about by the gathering of the supplements in lakes and seaside waters affecting biodiversity and fisheries. It is the significant reason for water contamination. As indicated by "a report of Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations and the Water, Land and Ecosystems (WLE) program drove by the International Water Management Institute detonating harm in journey of nourishment has put high natural impressions. Discharge of enormous amounts of agrochemicals, natural waste; medicate deposits, silt, fish excreta, uneaten feeds and saline seepage into water bodies is the fundamental sources of water contamination. Meat from Industrial ranches contributes unfeasible increases and water quality corruptions. It must be acknowledged that the development in the farming generation has been accomplished through the concentrated utilization of inputs, for example, pesticides and substance fertilizers. Today worldwide market for pesticides is worth more than USD 35 billion dollars for every year. Nations like Argentina, Malaysia, South Africa and Pakistan are encounters twofold digit development in the force of pesticide use". Significant level of Nitrates in water is the principle sources of contamination. Conceivably lethal disease in newborn children like "blue baby syndrome" is just because of commonness of over the top nitrates in water. "The Royal Commission of Environmental Pollution (RCEP) published the seventh report called-Agriculture and Pollution in 1979. The report examined the effects of different patrons utilized in agrarian practices, for example, fertilizers and pesticides". In any case, at present contamination has taken serious forms due to complex frameworks (Moss, 2008). Ground crops like cabbage, strawberries and carrot; whenever developed in soils inundated with phosphorous and nitrogen mollified dirtied water is the significant reason for sicknesses like; Typhoid, cholera, ascariasis (brought about by Ascaris lumbricoides), amoebiasis (amoeba, *Entamoeba histolytica*), giardiasis (protozoan, *Giardia lamblia*) and enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* (Abbasi *et al.* (2014).

Conclusions and Suggestions

Strategies and Incentives:

There is have to have legitimate structure to control the hazard of pollution. The administering framework must envision PROACTIVELY for in setting support. Government sponsorships: Time has come that the government should evolve systems like, In the US, "The corrosive Rain Program (ARP) built up under Title IV of the 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA) Amendments orders decreases in the outflows of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) and nitrogen oxides (NO_X)". EPA- US. It is considerable that in country India legislature of Punjab State through Village Governing frameworks to control air contamination has announced money prize of Rupees Two Thousand and Five hundred (Rs. 2,500/-) to small and marginal farmers (with land holding less than five acres) who didn't practiced stubble and rice straw burnings strategy for eliminating crop residues and leftovers. This money impetus will go about as an inspiration for cultivating network to "NOT BURN" agriculture wastes and adopt different measures viz precisely tiling the residue deposits itself in the soil tiled and so forth. (Source: The Tribune, dated, November 14, 2019).

On farm reactions:

Utilization of the management procedures can be remembered for the on farm reactions to help control contamination. Building up security zones inside and around farms in addition to powerful water system plans control relocation of toxics poisons to water bodies. Off farm reactions:

It is all around acknowledged that to lessen the water contamination and relieving the amphibian weight of pollutions on biological system there is need to embrace feasible and successful techniques. There is need to control the release of toxins in the ecological system. In context off - farm systems, for example, "riparian cushion" and "vegetative strips or built wetlands" are financially savvy measures to decrease the heaps entering in surface water bodies. It is hence fundamental that there ought to be incorporated frameworks for "integrated systems" where waste as output from one framework becomes contribution for a subsequent framework. Likewise; there is a need to elevate forthright research to create more techniques to control contamination.

Corporate Initiatives:

There are activities required on the part of businesses like corporate to work to make a pattern of contamination control. The idea of "Green Banking" has developed on the scene to set out this fiendishness. In green banking the corporate bankers act in a manner to help spotless and green condition by falling back on siphoning the cash into those divisions who score carbon credits and so on. For instance in India:

- Bank of Baroda offered inclination to natural amicable tasks like breeze plants; biomass and sun based force ventures which help in picking up "carbon credits".
- Canara Bank turned to ecologically cordial activities by advancing telebanking, portable banking, and sunlight based force biometrics ventures.
- ICICI Bank began "Practice environmental awareness Initiatives" by offering green items, green commitment and green correspondence.
- HDFC took different measures to diminish carbon impressions. It turned to squander the board and paper and vitality use efficiencies.
- Kotak Mahindra Bank took "THINK GREEN" activities and received measures to diminish paper squander the executives and worked together with clients by marking e-explanations. It made clients accomplices in 'Develop Trees.com' when it planted one sapling for the benefit of each client for each e-explanation.
- IndusInd Bank started Green Office Project under which sun powered fueled ATMs are introduced in different pieces of the India nation to move toward vitality sparing and decrease CO_2 outflows.
- HSBC Group to drive productivity and to diminish cost and operational effects on condition has set separate focuses for server farm in term of paper utilization and business air travel.

- IDBI Bank is offering different types of assistance in the field of Clean Development Mechanisms (CDM) to its customer.
- In Context Research:

The utilization of biological pest control agents, or utilizing predators, parasitoids, parasites, and pathogens to control agriculture pests , can possibly diminish agricultural contamination related with pest control methods involving, for example, pesticide use. It is to be learnt that the benefits of introducing biological control operators have also been generally debated. Once introduced, the induction of a bio control agents can be irreversible. Potential biological issues could incorporate when such bio agents move from agricultural arena into regular natural habitats, and host-exchanging or adjusting to use a native species. What's more, foreseeing the results in complex environments and potential natural effects preceding induction can be troublesome. One case of a bio control program that brought about environmental harm happened in North America, when a parasitoid of butterflies' introduction to control gypsy moth and brown tail moth likely brought about the decrease and extirpation of a few local silk moth species.

Yet specific research to adapt up to rising difficulties and alerts is the need of the time. The news that a group of researcher drove by the University of Sheffield in the UK comprehended the mind boggling "structure of one of the key segments of photosynthesis is a development that could prompt the procedure being 'overhauled' to better returns to meet dire nourishment security needs" is exceptionally appreciable. It uncovers the structure of cyctochrome b6f-the protein complex that fundamentally impacts plant development through photosynthesis (Source: The tribune, Dated November 14, 2019).

References

Adams, M.R.; Chang, C.C.; McCarl, B.A. and Callaway, M.J. (1990). The role of agriculture in climate changes: a preliminary evaluation of emission control strategies. In: Conference on global change: economic issues in agriculture, forestry and natural resources, Washington, DC

Agrawal, M. (2005). Effects of air pollution on agriculture: an issue of national concern. Natl Acad Sci Lett (India) 28: 93–106.

Ainsworth, E.A. and Long, S.P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO_2 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO_2. New Phytol., 165: 351–372.

Ainsworth, E.A. and McGrath, J.M. (2010). Direct effects of rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and ozone on crop yields. Clim Change Food Sec., 37: 109–130.

Aneja, V.P.; Schlesinger, W.H. and Erisman, J.M. (2009). Effects of agriculture upon the air quality and climate: research, policy, and regulations. Environ Sci Technol., 43: 4234–4240.

Cohen, C.J.; Grothaus, L.C. and Perrigan, S.C. (1981). Effects of simulated sulfuric acid rain on crop plant. Agricultural Experiment Station Oregon State University, Corvallis.

Decker, W.L.; Jones, V.K. and Achuntuni, R. (1986). The impact of climate change from increased CO_2 on American agriculture. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC, DOE/NBB-0077

Del Valle-Tascon, S. and Carrasco-Rodriguez, J.L. (2004). Impact of ozone on crops. R Dris and SM Jain (eds). Production practices and quality assessment of food crops, "Preharvest Practice" 2004 Kluwer Academic Publishers Netherlands, 1: 189–208.

Doll, J.E. and Baranski, M. (2011). Field crop agriculture and climate change. Climate change and agriculture fact sheet series, 1–4.

Doody, D.G.; Archbold, M.; Foy, R.H. and Flynn, R. (2012). Approaches to the implementation of the Water Framework Directive: targeting mitigation measures at critical source areas of diffuse phosphorus in Irish catchments. J Environ Manage, 93: 225–234.

Emberson, L. (2003). Air pollution impacts on crops and forests: an introduction. Air pollution impacts on crops and forests: a global assessment, 4: 338.

Abbasi, A. *et al.* (2014). Agricultural Pollution: An Emerging Issue. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272621253 pp.

Gautam, R. and Bhardwaj, N. (2010). Bioaccumulation of fluoride in different plant parts of Hordeum vulgare (barley) var. rd-2683 from irrigation water. Fluoride, 43: 57–60.

Gautam, R.; Bhardwaj, N. and Saini, Y. (2010). Fluoride accumulation by vegetables and crops grown in Nawa Tehsil of Nagaur district (Rajasthan, India). J Phytol., 2: 80–85.

Griffiths, H. (2003) Effects of air pollution on agricultural crops. Revision of factsheet air pollution on agricultural crops. Ministry of Agriculture and Food, Ontario, Canada.

Guderian, R. (1985). Effects of pollutant combinations. In: Guderian R (ed) Air pollution by photochemical oxidants. Springer, Berlin, 246–275.

Hatano, R. and Lipiec, J. (2004). Effects of land use and cultural practices on greenhouse gas fluxes in soil. Acta Agrophysica, 109.

Heagle, A.S. (1989). Ozone and crop yield. Annu Rev Phytopathol, 27: 397–423.

Heath, R.L. and Taylor, G.E. (1997). Physiological processes and plant responses to ozone exposure. In: Sandermann H, Wellburn AR, Heath RL (eds) Forest decline and ozone. Spinger, Berlin, 317–368.

Houghton, J.T.; Ding, Y.; Griggs, D.J.; Naguer, M.; Vanderlinden, P.J.; Dai, X.; Maskell, K. and Johnson, C.A. (2001). Climate change 2001: the scientifi c basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Houghton, J.T.; Jenkins, G.J. and Ephraums, J.J. (1990). Climate change: the IPCC scientifi c assessment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 365.

Jacob, D.J. and Winner, D.A. (2009). Effect of climate change on air quality, vol 43. Elsevier Amsterdam, 51–63.

Janzen, H.H. (2004). Carbon cycling in earth systems—a soil science perspective. Agric Ecosyst Environ 104: 399–417.

Jenkins, B.; Jones, D.; Turn, S. and Williams, R. (1996). Emission factors for polycyclic hydrocarbons from biomass burning. Environ Sci Technol., 30: 2462–2469.

Khan, F.R. (2012). Brick kiln emissions affect crop yields, study finds. Scidev Net, viewed 16 Nov 2012

Kongshaug, G. (1998). Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in fertilizer production. IFA Technical Conference, Marrakech, Morocco, 18.

Law, R.A. and Mansfiel, T.A. (1982). Oxides of nitrogen and green house atmosphere. Effects of gaseous air pollution in agriculture and horticulture. Butterworth, 93–112.

Lemke, R.; McConkey, B. and Janzen, H. (2004). Impacts of agriculture on air quality (with emphasis on CO_2 and N_2O). Agric Agri-Food Can 23–36.

Maqsood, M.A.; Hussain, S.; Aziz, T. and Ashraf, M. (2013). Sustainable agriculture through integrated soil fertility management on degraded lands. SA Shahid *et al.* (eds), Developments in Soil Salinity Assessment and Reclamation: Innovative Thinking and use of marginal soil and water resources in irrigated agriculture, 759–776.

Matsubara, M.; Morimoto, S.; Sase, H.; Ohizumi, T.; Sumida, H.; Nakata, M. and Ueda, H. (2009). Long-term declining trends of river water pH in Central Japan. Water Air Soil Pollution, 253–265.

Miller, J.E. (1987). Effects of ozone and sulphur dioxide stress on growth and carbon allocation in plants. Rec Adv Phytochem, 1: 55–100.

Minhas, R. (2019). Organic farming, scenario of India-A bibliographical Review. Plant Archives 19: 802-809.

Moss, B. (2008). Water pollution by agriculture. Philos Trans R Soc B 363: 659–666.

Mudd, J.B. (1975). Sulfur dioxide. In: Mudd JB, Kzozlowski TT (eds) Responses of plants to air pollution. Academic, New York, 9–22.

Picazo-Tadeo, A.J.; Gomez-Limon, J.A. and Reig-Martinez, E. (2011). Assessing farming eco efficiency: a data envelopment analysis approach. J Environ Manage, 92: 1154–1164.

Preston, T.R. and Leng, R.A. (1989). The greenhouse effect and its implications for world agriculture, the need for environmentally friendly development. Livest Res Rural Dev., 1.

Roy, S.; Beig, G. and Ghude, S. (2009). Exposure-plant response of ambient ozone over the tropical Indian region. Atmos Chem Phys Dis., 9: 4141–4157.

Runeckles, V.C. and Chevone, B.I. (1991). Crop responses to ozone. Surface level ozone exposures and their effects on vegetation. Lewis Chelsea, 157–220.

Sanders, R. (2012). Fertilizer use responsible for increase in nitrous oxide in atmosphere. News Center, Berkeley. Available at http://newscenter.berkeley.edu/2012/04/02/fertilizer-useresponsible- for-increase-in-nitrous-oxide-in-atmosphere/

Sandin, S. (2005). Present and future methane emissions from rice fi elds in DôngNga.c commune,Hanoi, Vietnam, Göteborg University, Department of Physical Geography, 41.

Savci, S. (2012). An agricultural pollutant: chemical fertilizer. Int J Environ Sci Dev 3: 77–80

Scharlemann, J.P.W.; Balmford, A. and Green, R.E. (2005). The level of threat to restricted-range bird species can be predicted from mapped data on land use and human population. Biol Conserv, 123: 317–326.

Scherr, S.J. and Sthapit, S. (2010). Mitigating climate change through food and land use. World watch Report 179.

Scialabba, N.H. and Lindenlauf, M.M. (2010). Organic agriculture and climate change. Renew Agric Food Syst., 25: 158–169.

Sharpley, A.N.; Kleinman, P.J.A.; Heathwaite, A.L.; Gburek, W.J.; Folmar, G.J. and Schmidt, J.P. (2008). Phosphorus loss from an agricultural watershed as a function of storm size. J Environ Qual 37: 362–368.

Shen, G.; Huang, X.; Zhou, P.; Wang, L. and Zhi, Y. (2012). Non-point source pollution characteristics of agriculture-derived nitrogen in groundwater in suburban area of Shanghai based on models. Springer, Heidelberg, 32–42.

Smith, P. (2004). Engineered biological sinks on land. In: Field CB, Raupach MR (eds) The global carbon cycle, Integrating humans, climate, and the natural world. Island Press, Washington, DC, 479–491.

Sutton, M.; Oenema, O.; Erisman, J.W.; Leip, A.; Grinsven, H. and Winiwarter, W. (2011). Too much of a good thing. Nature, 472: 159–161.

Tabatabai, M.A. and Olson, R.A. (1985). Effect of acid rain on soils. Crit Rev Environ Control, 15: 65–10.

Tavi, I. and Lal, R. (2013) Agriculture and greenhouse gases, a common tragedy. A review, vol 33. Springer, Heidelberg, 275–289

Treshow, M. and Anderson, F.K. (1991). Plant stress from air pollution. Wiley, Chichester, 283.

Telesiński, A.; Śnioszek, M.; Smolikn, B.; Malinowska, K.; Mikiciuk, M.; Cichocka, J. and Zakrzewska, H. (2011). Fluoride uptake in hydroponic culture by different clones of Basket Willow, Salix VimI. Res Rep., 4: 255–259.

Tunney, H.; Kirwan, L.; Fu, W. and Culleton, N. (2010). Long term phosphorus grassland experiment for beef production – impact on soil phosphorus levels and animal liveweight gains. Soil Use Manage, 26: 237–244.

Vanni, M.J.; Arend, K.K.; Bremigan, M.T.; Bunnell, D.B.; Garvey, J.E.; Gonzalez, M.J.; Renwick, W.H.; Soranno, P.A. and Stein, R.A. (2005). Linking landscapes and food webs: effects of omnivorous fi sh and watersheds on reservoir ecosystems. BioSciences, 55: 155–167.

Volk, M.; Liersch, S. and Schmidt, G. (2009). Towards the implementation of the European Water Framework Directive? Lessons learned from water quality simulations in an agricultural watershed. Land Use Policy, 26: 580–588.

Vörösmarty, C.J.; McIntyre, P.B.; Gessner, M.O.; Dudgeon, D.; Prusevich, A. and Green, P. (2010). Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. Nature, 467: 555–561.

Werther, J.; Saenger, M.; Hartge, E.U.; Ogada, T. and Siagi, Z. (2000). Combustion of agricultural residues.Prog Energy Combust Sci., 2:1–27.

Wheeler, B.D. (1980). Plant communities of rich fen systems in England and Wales III. Fen meadow, fen grassland and fen woodland communities and contact communities. J Ecol., 68: 761–788.

Williams, P.; Whitfield, M.; Biggs, J.; Bray, S.; Fox, G.; Nicolet, P. and Sear, D. (2004). Comparative diversity of rivers, streams, ditches and ponds in an agricultural landscape in southern England. Biol Conserv, 115: 329–341.

Zhuang, Q.; Melack, J.M.; Zimov, S.; Wlater, K.M.; Butenhoff, C.L. and Khalil, MA.K. (2009). Global methane emissions from wetlands, rice paddies, and lakes. EOS, 90: 37–38.