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Abstract

This study included the germination process of the soft wheat \((Triticum aestivum)\) and the study of its Rheological and sensory properties. The wheat grains were soaked, germinated, dried, milled then sifted to separate the bran from the flour, where the soft wheat flour was used to prepare laboratory loaf bread and Rakak bread. Furthermore, the Rheological properties water absorption ratio, maturity period, and stability period were estimated by Farinograph device in the germinated soft wheat flour amounted to \((54, 1.7 \text{ and } 1.2)\), respectively, compared to soft wheat flour \((65.8, 3.7 \text{ and } 3.4)\), respectively. While the Rheological properties for three rest periods \((90, 45 \text{ and } 135)\) minutes were estimated by Extensograph, where the unit area in the germinated soft wheat flour was \((18, 21 \text{ and } 22) \text{ cm}^2\), compared to soft wheat flour that reached \((35, 22 \text{ and } 13) \text{ cm}^2\). The extensibility proportion was \((91, 103 \text{ and } 90) \text{ mm}\), while soft wheat flour reached \((178, 174 \text{ and } 143) \text{ mm}\). Moreover, the extension resistance in the germinated soft wheat flour was reached to \((97, 116, 154) \text{ B.U}\) and in soft wheat flour was \((122, 82, 60) \text{ B.U}\). Other properties measured was the falling number, where it reached 62 seconds, while in the soft wheat flour reached to 403 seconds. Finally, the sensory evaluation results of the laboratory loaf test of the germinated wheat flour the final total reached 79.40 degree out of a total of 100 degree, while in Rakak bread the germinated soft flour wheat reached 28.30 out of 40 degrees.
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Introduction

Wheat is the mainstay of the food for many peoples in the world, its cultivation date back to prehistory, which still as the most important grain in the world, its represent about \(75\%\) of the grain consumed in Iraqi meals (Saidi, 1983; Lookhart and Bean 1997). Wheat is one of the most important grain crops that used to produce various types of products because of its multiple types, as well as, providing calories from carbohydrates and proteins important to the vital activity of humans. The health benefits of whole-wheat grain consumption are associated with its rich nutritional fiber and bio-phytochemicals such as phenolic compounds, tocopherol, carotenes, and plant sterols. (Ktenioudaki \textit{et al.}, 2015). Grain and legumes are usually handled by germination, fermentation or the use of sensible heat to increase the quantity or availability of nutrients, where the application of the germination process was modern because of the great interest in the nutritional and vital content of grains. As well as, improve the palatability of food and thus may lead the germination to a chemical, natural and sensory changes to increase health benefits and the desire to use whole grains with potentially widespread effects on the population level in alleviating harmful lifestyle diseases (Nelson \textit{et al.}, 2013). The change in the rheological properties of the dough was due to the flour components of starch granules by \(75-80\%\) surrounded by a three-dimensional protein network (Weipert, 2006). Farinograph, mixograph and extensograph are the most common experimental tools used to characterize dough rheology, where these tests based on these tools are useful for providing practical information to the baking industries, while its not sufficient to explain the basic behavior of dough processing and bread quality (Janssen \textit{et al.}, 1996; Miller and Hoseney, 1999). Finally, the aim of this study was to change the synthetic traits and its reflecting on the rheological and sensory properties of the germinated wheat.
Materials and Methods

Preparation of wheat flour model

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), Ibaa 99 variety was obtained from local markets in Iraq that produced in 2017, where the wheat models were kept in the refrigerator (±4°C) and then subjected to the following operations: Firstly, wheat purification from impurities manually (empty and broken seeds and suspended matter). Secondly, Germination Method, where Germination of soft wheat grains was carried out according to the method mentioned in (AACC, 2000). Where, the seeds were soaked before germination with tap water at a ratio of 1: 3 (grain: water) for 16 hours and then the seed germination was conducted in the incubator at 37°C away from light in trays containing cloth for 72 hours. Finally, the seeds washed and moisten from 2-3 times daily with water and after the end of the germination period, the seeds were washed and dried at a temperature (60-65°C) for (10-12 hours) in the convection oven with the hot air stream. The third stage during the preparation of the wheat flour mode was Determination of moisture in the germinated and non-germinated wheat grain models. The moisture content was estimated using the standard method of the American Association of Cereal Chemists (AACC,2010). Subsequently, Wheat grain models were moistened with tap water in two batches for 48 hours at room temperature to deliver humidity to 15% in closed containers, where, the amount of added water (ml) was calculated according to the following equation = The amount of added water (ml)=((100- model moisture) / (100-required moisture))-1) × the model weight. Furthermore, the models of moisturized wheat were milled by a laboratory mill from the German Brabender company, then the sieving process was conducted by a sieve its hole diameter of 150 microns. At the end, the resulting flour was kept in the polyethylene bags in the refrigerator at a temperature of -18°C until further testing.

Germinated soft wheat products

The Laboratory loaf bread preparation implemented by Straight Dough Method according to the method mentioned in (AACC, 2000, while the Rakak bread was manufactured according to the method described by (Halayatan, 2010). Moreover, the sensory evaluation form of laboratory bread was used as mentioned by (Zubaidi, 2009), and the sensory evaluation of Rakak bread was carried out, and the form was used as mentioned by (Al-Araji 2003).

Results and Discussion

The rheological properties of wheat flour under study

Water absorption ratio

Fig. 1 shows that the rheological properties of the germinated and non-germinated wheat flour that estimated by Farinograph device, where the water absorption ratio in wheat flour varieties (soft and germinated) was (65.8 and 54%), respectively. (Zain Al Abidine, 1979) observed that the water absorption ratio of wheat flour used in baking ranges between (50.7 - 61.1%), while (Maria *et al.*, 1995) indicated that the ability of whole-wheat flour to absorb water by 55-65%.

Maturity period

The maturity period of the germinated and non-germinated wheat flour was (4.9, 1.7) minutes, which is lower than (Al-Mahyawi, 2018) obtained within the studying the varieties of flour (Ibaa 99, Al-Rasheed, Tamus, Abu Ghrail and Turkish flour (Muaamel)). The ratio reached (8.2, 5.3, 5.5, 4.8, and 8.2) minutes respectively, but the results obtained in this study agreed with (Fadel *et al.*, 2010) findings, where the maturity period ranged between (1.5 - 8.43) minutes, and they observed that the decrease in wet gluten content in flour leads to a decrease in dough development period.

Stability Period

The stability period of wheat flour was (3.9, 1.2) minutes, respectively, where (Edwards, 2007) indicated that the stability period of soft wheat flour ranges between 3 - 5 minutes. This was consistent with the period of flour stability of the studied wheat varieties except the germinated soft wheat flour, which its stability period was low and this was consistent with the result of (Mahyawi 2018) about Abu Ghrail verity, which was 1.4 minutes. The stability period of the dough varies from one type to another, depending on several factors, including Gluten, protein percentage and genetic variation of grains (*Rosell et al.*, 2006) as shown in Fig. 2.
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**Fig. 1:** The Rheological properties of wheat flour varieties estimated by Farinograph device.

**Fig. 2:** Farinograph diagrams of wheat flour varieties under study

- A: soft wheat
- B: germinated soft wheat.

**Unit area**

Table 1 showed that there was a significant difference in the unit area between the soft wheat flour and the germinated soft wheat flour when measured with an Extensograph device. The soft wheat flour reached (35, 22, 13) cm\(^2\) for rest periods (45, 90 and 135) minutes respectively, and in the germinated soft wheat flour (18, 21, 22) cm\(^2\).

**Maximum resistance**

Table 1 showed that the maximum resistance in soft wheat was (127, 83 and 60) B.U respectively, at rest periods (45, 90 and 135) minutes and in the germinated soft wheat (227, 234 and 211) B.U respectively. It was observed that the dough maximum resistance was in the rest period 45 minutes in the soft wheat flour dough and the germinated in the rest period of 90 minutes, and the maximum resistance in the soft and germinated wheat at the rest period was 135 minutes.

**Extension resistance**

Table 2 showed that the extension resistance value in soft wheat flour was (122, 82 and 60) B.U at rest periods (45, 90, 135) minutes and the germinated soft wheat flour was (97, 116, 154) B.U, respectively. Moreover, the results showed that the extension resistance of soft wheat flour in the rest period was reduced by 135 minutes; this is due to the activity of protease enzymes as it works to reduce the resistance (Pomeranz and Matten, 1988).

**Extensibility**

The results of Table 2 showed that the extensibility value in soft wheat flour at rest periods of (45, 60, 135) minutes were (178, 174 and 143) mm, respectively, and in the germinated soft wheat flour was (90, 103, 91) mm. Furthermore, it was observed from the results obtained, a decreasing in the extensibility value in soft wheat flour and the germinated at rest periods 135 minutes, and a decrease in the extensibility value in the germinated wheat flour less than in soft wheat flour. The decrease in the extensibility value may be attributed to the weakness of the gluten network in wheat flour wheat (Belitz *et al.*, 2009).

**Extension resistance / Extensibility**

Table 2 showed that the results of the extensibility
Table 1: Unit area, maximum resistance measured by Extensograph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Area (cm²)</th>
<th>Maximum resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incubation period (min)</td>
<td>Incubation period (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft wheat flour</td>
<td>35a</td>
<td>22a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germinated soft wheat flour</td>
<td>18b</td>
<td>21a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Extension resistance, extensibility and extension resistance / extensibility measured by Extensograph*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flour varieties</th>
<th>Extension resistance (B.U)</th>
<th>Extensibility (mm)</th>
<th>Extension resistance / extensibility B.U/mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incubation period (min)</td>
<td>Incubation period (min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft wheat</td>
<td>122a</td>
<td>82a</td>
<td>60a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germinated soft wheat</td>
<td>97b</td>
<td>116a</td>
<td>154b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(P<0.05) *.

value obtained through the study in soft wheat flour at rest periods reached (45, 90, 135) minutes were (0.7, 0.5, 0.4) B.U / mm and in the germinated soft wheat flour (1.1, 1.1, 1.7) B.U / mm. It was observed that the extension resistance / extensibility variation during the rest periods in soft wheat flour, but it was similar in the germinated soft wheat flour at rest periods of 45 and 90 minutes, while in 135 minutes was higher at 1.7, and there was a significant difference between soft wheat flour (non-germinated and germinated). The results of germinated wheat were consistent with the results obtained by (Al-Jilawi 2017), as its result ranged between (1.0- 3.7) for the Al-Rasheed variety as shown in Fig. 3.

Falling number

The falling number is a test conducted for flour to estimate the activity of amylase enzymes in flour, which is an important test for measuring α-amylase enzyme activity. It can be observed from Fig. 2, that there were significant difference in the falling number value between the germinated wheat flour and non-germinated, as the falling number value of the germinated soft wheat flour is (62) seconds, after it was in the non-germinated soft wheat flour (403) seconds. The results obtained for the germinated wheat flour was consistent with the results obtained by (Ohm et al., 2016) when the germination process was carried out on wheat for (72) hours, where the falling number was (62) seconds. While it was (450) seconds in the non-germinated wheat flour, the results obtained from the non-germinated soft wheat is almost agreed with (Abu Taha 1999) results, where it was ranged between (412-462) seconds.

Sensory properties of loaf bread produced from non-germinated soft wheat flour and germinated

The sensory and external properties of laboratory bread that shown in table 3 are specific properties to the consumer acceptability of the final baked product, especially with regard to the color of the crust of laboratory bread. It depends on the rate of non-enzymatic brown coloring (Maillard reaction), and which depends on the quality and quantity of reduction sugars and the presence of amino groups residual free in dough and the baking temperature (Ashoor and Zent, 1984; Fenemma, 1999). Table 3 also shows the degrees given for the qualitative size trait, crust color, form consistency, baking process consistency, pulp granularity, pulp color, pulp texture, taste and flavor, and the total between the first two treatments (loaf bread of soft wheat flour), which the sensory evaluation values reached (24.40, 8.20, 3.70, 4.00, 7.60, 7.0, 9.00, 15.10, 79.80) respectively. While the sensory evaluation of the second (loaf bread of germinated soft wheat flour), values were (21.20, 8.90, 4.30, 4.50, 8.00, 8.800, 7.30, 16.40, 79.40), respectively, are shown in the evaluation form mentioned in (Zubaidi 2009). There was a significant difference between the treatments, except for the pulp granularity and the form consistency, where there was no significant difference between these two treatments.

As well as, a significant decrease in the specific volume of the loaf bread was observed in the second treatment (loaf bread of germinated soft wheat flour). This means that increasing the protein amount in the germinated soft wheat flour is not an important factor affecting on the loaf size, but that the effect factor is the quality of protein (Shahzadi, 2005). The internal sensory qualities of the loaf are of great importance in quality evaluation, as well as, in the consumer acceptability, but there was no significant difference between the two treatments in the granularity pulp trait. As for the texture, the first treatment was superior to the second treatment, this was due to the high fiber content of the germinated soft wheat flour as indicated table 1, which led to water
Table 3: Sensory evaluation of loaf bread produced from the soft wheat flour and germinated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$T_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific volume</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.40a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crust color</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form consistency</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.30a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baking process consistency</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulp granulation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.60a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulp color</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.807a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulp texture</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste and flavor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>79.80a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Sensory evaluation of Rakak bread produced from the soft wheat flour and germinated*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Taste 10 degrees</th>
<th>Color 10 degrees</th>
<th>Flavor 10 degrees</th>
<th>Texture 10 degrees</th>
<th>Total 40 degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First treatment</td>
<td>.007a</td>
<td>.008a</td>
<td>8.60a</td>
<td>.307a</td>
<td>30.30a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second treatment</td>
<td>6.60a</td>
<td>.107b</td>
<td>7.60a</td>
<td>7.00a</td>
<td>28.30b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sensory evaluation: average degrees of ten evaluators. Vertically similar letters do not differ significantly at the level of significance (P <0.05).

The sensory properties of Rakak bread produced from the non-germinated soft wheat flour and germinated

Table 4 shows the sensory evaluation of Rakak bread was superior to the first treatment (Rakak bread of fine wheat flour) in which the sensory evaluation values of color, flavor, texture and total was (7.00, 8.00, 8.60, 7.30, 30.30), respectively. The second treatment of Rakak bread from the germinated fine wheat flour achieved (6.60, 7.10, 7.60, 7.00, 28.30), but it did not differ significantly over the second treatment except for the color as there was a significant difference between them, as the color of the germinated soft wheat flour by giving a darker color than the non-germinated soft wheat flour. This is due to the drying process carried out on the germinated wheat after the germination process ending. With regard to the degree of the final evaluation, which is the total degrees of evaluation, it was observed that the first treatment superior over the second treatment by obtaining 30.30 out of 40 degrees, while the second treatment achieved 28.30 degrees out of 40 degrees.
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